_	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	MINUTES
11	SUBCOMMITTEE ON OUTDOOR LIGHTING STANDARDS
12	(PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE)
13	Council of the County of Maui
14	Council Chamber
15	March 7, 2002
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	S-OI	us 3/7/02	
	1	CONVENE:	9:01 a.m.
	2	PRESENT:	Councilmember Michael J. Molina, Chair Councilmember Charmaine Tavares, Vice-
Chair	3		Lee Altenberg, Member Hannah Bernard, Member (In 9:49 a.m.)
	4		Richard Chong, Member Mike Maberry, Member
	5 6	EXCUSED:	Warren McCord, Member
	7	STAFF:	Gary R. Saldana, Legislative Analyst Richelle K. Kawasaki, Legal Analyst Camille Sakamoto, Committee Secretary
	8	ADMIN.:	Cary Yamashita, Engineer, Engineering
and	9		Division, Department of Public Works
Codes	10		Waste Management Howard Hanzawa, Engineer, Land Use and
and			Division, Department of Public Works
	11		Waste Management Greg Nakao, Electrical Inspection
Supervisor	12		Department of Public Works and Waste
	13		Management Kalvin Kobayashi, Energy Specialist,
	14		Department of Management Joseph Alueta, Planner, Department of Planning
	15		Gregory J. Garneau, Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of the
Corporatio	n 16		Counsel
	17	OTHERS:	Eric Miyasato Leslie Kuloloio
	18		Steve Sutrov Lynne Woods, President, Maui Chamber of
	19		Commerce

			Terryl Vencl, Executive Director, Maui
Hotel			-
	20		Association
			Randy Nakama, Maui Electric Company Ltd.
	21		Randy Piltz
			Bill George, Renaissance Wailea Beach
Resort			
	22		Cheryl King
			Skippy Hau, State Department of Land and
	23		Natural Resources
			Mercer "Chubby" Vicens
	24		Additional attendees (9)
	25	PRESS:	?

3	S-OLS 3/7/02
	1
	2
	3
	4 CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel.) The Public Works and
	5 Transportation Subcommittee on Outdoor Lighting
	6 Standards is now in session.
	7 For the record, we have in attendance
Tavares;	8 Vice-Chairperson Councilmember Charmaine
	9 Committee members, Dr. Lee Altenberg, Mr. Mike
	10 Maberry, Mr. Rick Chong. Excused are Committee
	11 members Warren McCord and Hannah Bernard.
	12 Other personnel in attendance, from the
Garneau;	Corporation Counsel's office, we have Greg

	14	and Council staff, Committee Secretary Camille
	15	Sakamoto and Legislative Analyst Gary Saldana.
	16	Good morning, Committee members.
	17	?: Good morning.
	18	THEM NO. 1. OURDOOD I TOURING CHANDADDO (MICC.)
	19	ITEM NO. 1: OUTDOOR LIGHTING STANDARDS (MISC.)
on	20	CHAIR MOLINA: Are we all enthused and ready to take
Outdoor	21	another challenging day of the events of the
	22	Lighting Standards Committee?
wanted	23	Prior to receiving testimony today, I
be	24	to provide a brief overview of the items we'll
	25	discussing at today's meeting. First, we'll be

1 assessing the Subcommittee's review of the draft 2 bill, to be followed by consideration of 3 the term of the Subcommittee; and we'll be 4 discussing the cost estimates and schedule for a 5 street lighting demonstration project. We'll be 6 reviewing potential dates for the County of Hawaii 7 site inspection; and, should time permit, we may

S-OLS 3/7/02

	8	continue with review of Sections .070, lamp
standards.	9	standards, and Section .080, luminaire
items	10	And I will give you a more detail on these
	11	prior to our discussion.
proceed	12	If there's no objection, I'd like to
	13	with public testimony. Okay. Thank you. Those
at	14	wishing to testify out there, please all sign up
you	15	the Committee secretary's desk to my left; and
minute	16	will be given three minutes. An additional
of	17	will be given to you subject to the discretion
completed,	18	the Chair; and if your testimony is not
after	19	an additional three minutes will be granted
	20	all others have been heard.
name	21	Testifiers are requested to state their
	22	for the record and to indicate who they're
disturband	23 ces,	representing; and to help minimize any
pagers	24	the Chair asks that you please turn off all
We	25	and cell phones or set them to the silent mode.

like	1	do have three members from the public who would
call	2	to testify at this time. The Chair will first
	3	up to testify Mr. Eric Miyasato.
	4	BEGIN PUBLIC TESTIMONY
	5	MR. MIYASATO: Good morning, Chairman.
	6	CHAIR MOLINA: Good morning.
Subcommitte	7 ee.	MR. MIYASATO: Good morning, members of the
	8	My name is Eric Miyasato. I am a manufacturer
lighting	9	representative for lighting fixtures and
Agency	10	systems. I work for Pacific Electrical Sales
in	11	in Honolulu. I'm a licensed electrical engineer
	12	Hawaii. I was the IEF President for the Hawaii
	13	section in 1997 through 1998.
lighting	14	I am, for the record, for an outdoor
	15	standard for the County of Maui. However, I am
	16	against the proposed outdoor lighting standard
	17	listed currently at the Maui County Web site. I
the	18	highly urge the Committee to carefully review
the	19	County-wide use of low-pressure sodium lamps and
	20	financial repercussions for the County of Maui.
of	21	In addition, there are several sections

broad	22	the outdoor lighting ordinance that are too
	23	based in language and would restrict lighting
	24	solutions for the County of Maui. I have as an
	25	exhibit this (inaudible).

S-OLS 3/7/02

6

	1	CHAIR MOLINA: (Inaudible), Mr. Miyasato?
	2	MR. MIYASATO: Yes. In my profession I work with
design		
aspects	3	engineers and architects on any technical
	4	
a	4	for lighting. The exhibit I'm passing out takes
	5	looks at three different examples for a roadway
	6	
	6	application.
Highway,	7	The example I had in mind was Hana
iiigiiway,		
the	8	two lanes in, two lanes out, and a median and
	9	distance to light up the roadway to a certain
IES	,	distance to light up the loadway to a certain
	10	standard, which is a major commercial. Under
that		
	11	premise, if you examine Layout 1, which is the
	12	standard Cobra head standard roadway fixture
with		
	13	a drop lens using a high-pressure sodium
fixture,		

on	14	based on that fixture, you can space it 150 feet
	15	center.
fixture	16	Layout 2 uses a high-pressure sodium
you	17	but with a cutoff lens. Based on that fixture,
	18	can space it 136 feet on center.
	19	And Layout 3 is based on a low-pressure
	20	sodium cutoff fixture. On that premise, you can
	21	space it 99 feet on center.
see	22	By looking at the data, you can obviously
as	23	that the spacing for low-pressure sodium isn't
the	24	great as high-pressure sodium. This is because
	25	inherent nature of low-pressure sodium does not

7	S-OLS 3/7/	02
	1	permit the spread or the coverage as great as
	2	high-pressure sodium.
one	3	My example covers a section of roadway
losses.	4	mile in length. It covers lamp and ballast
and	5	It covers the estimated budget costs per fixture
	6	an estimated budget costs per mile.

	7	MR. SALDANA: Three minutes.
	8	MR. MIYASATO: And by examining this data, you can
pay	9	obviously see that low-pressure sodium would not
period.	10	for itself within probably a 30-year time
that I	11	There's other aspects in this exhibit
I	12	would like to discuss; but to keep things short,
ordinance,	13	would also like to mention, within the
	14	there's a section on sports lighting. And it
to	15	specifically calls out for a full cutoff fixture
Memorial	16	illuminate a playing surface such as War
levels	17	Stadium. In order to meet the certain light
events,	18	for recreational and college level sporting
levels	19	it would be highly unlikely to reach these
	20	MR. SALDANA: Four minutes.
going	21	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. I'm sorry, Mr. Miyasato. I'm
would	22	to have to cut you off at this time. However,
minutes?	23	you like to return for an additional three
	24	MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
	25	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay.

8

Committee,

	1	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Mr. Molina, excuse me.
	2	CHAIR MOLINA: Yes, Ms. Tavares.
	3	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Can't we regard him as a resource
	4	person and
	5	CHAIR MOLINA: Sure.
	6	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: let him continue on his
	7	presentation?
	8	CHAIR MOLINA: You must have been reading my mind.
	9	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Okay.
would	10	CHAIR MOLINA: I was going to ask the body if they
	11	like Mr. Miyasato to remain through today's
	12	proceedings
	13	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Right.
some	14	CHAIR MOLINA: and if the body would like to ask
	15	questions later
	16	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Yes.
	17	CHAIR MOLINA: and I just
	18	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you.
	19	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Any objections to that, members?
	20	No. Okay. Thank you.
Leslie	21	Okay. Next to testify, we have Mr.
	22	Kuloloio.
	23	MR. KULOLOIO: Mr. Chairman and members of the

	24	thank	you.	. M	y na	ame i	is Lesl	ie	Kulolo	oio,	and	Ι
was												
	25	so	so g	glad	to	have	e gotte	n a	a copy	of	the	

9	S-OLS 3/7	/02
outdoor	1	Subcommittee all of you who serve on the
	2	lighting standards.
	3	I think my reason for being here is to
	4	just to come forward to you-all that I think I
the	5	served on the in the past many years ago on
thought	6	street light (inaudible) Committee. And I
in	7	it was so interesting because I also am involved
corridors	8	making sure that I like to see certain
	9	or certain spaces that the public need to be
comfortab	10 le	comfortable in the public need to be
some	11	in where their uses does not become impacted by
	12	standard that might blind them from across a
	13	corridor, whatever it is.
	14	I'd like to see that sometime traditional
for	15	uses or partitional culture uses in the use of,

	16	example, ocean shoreline, does not impact
blinding	17	traditional and culture uses by reflectors,
where	18	lights, where Hawaii and our culture and
beautiful	19	our resources is mainly to experience the
	20	skylights during the night. That's a big
all	21	difference. And that's a resource that brings
traditiona	22 al	to Hawaii from all over the world beside
	23	uses or private owners.
incorporat	24 ce	I'd like to be sure that when we
	25	subcommittees on light it reminds me of some

10	S-OLS 3/7/	02
boom	1	ordinance talking about noise. If there's some
they're	2	box 20 miles away and it's loud, you feel
see	3	going to get a complaint. So, I would like to
noise.	4	that kind of follow-up whether it's light or
does	5	Which one get priority, noise or light? How
	6	each one become part of our system of enjoying

in a	7	ourselves in a peaceful as public individual
	8	peaceful environment.
	9	I'd like to also know that sitting on the
was	10	Land Use Commission for Kahoolawe because I
sure	11	part of the makeup on the Committee being
more	12	that the Island of Kahoolawe have already seen
increasing	13 g.	light from the Kihei shoreline, and it's
the	14	Where, that island will be hopefully no light on
	15	island. And when we say "no light," we begin to
environme	16 nt	experience flying birds that cost our
and	17	the fish that come in shoreline and the turtles
	18	so forth that visit our shoreline. And so far,
	19	there's only one shoreline along the Kealia Pond
the	20	that has no light. And you can witness the
areas.	21	turtles are returning back to their darkened
expand	22	So, we need to really look at it and
want	23	our knowledge to what we really want to do. I
covers	24	to also be sure that the SMA permit process
	25	these kind of uses

11

	1	MR. SALDANA: Three minutes.
	2	MR. KULOLOIO: because it is a cultural thing. And
setback	3	finally I'd like to say that the certified
owners,	4	area be very distinctly defined by private
	5	commercial uses, the hotels, and culture use and
public.	6	public use in this space set aside for the
	7	Thank you.
Committee	8	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kuloloio.
	9	members, any questions for Mr. Kuloloio?
	10	Dr. Altenberg?
	11	MR. ALTENBERG: Mr. Kuloloio, there's been a concern
	12	expressed by some representatives of the resorts
need to	13	that for their protection of liability, they
guests,	14	be able to illuminate the beaches for their
properties	15	the beaches that are adjacent to their
	16	That would seem to be in conflict in conflict
more on	17	with what you are suggesting. Could you say
	18	that?
with	19	MR. KULOLOIO: Yes. I don't think so it's a conflict
within	20	who was here first. I think it's a conflict

	21	their own standards.
have	22	When they built the hotel, they should
	23	known the package. They should have known what
with,	24	would be that their hotel would encompass
	25	all the lights shining in the corridors for the

12	S-OLS 3/7.	/02
	1	room the people to go in their rooms on every
	2	floor, their parking lots; but I I kind of
	3	disagree. They have security.
of	4	I think what I'm saying is every corner
uses,	5	that lighting on premises of the commercial
the	6	hotels, the lights should be shining in towards
that's	7	hotel rather than out of the hotel because
shining	8	the space they they chose to give it, not
blinding	9	at every corner outside the perimeters and
	10	the public, no way, no way.
need	11	And it's been done in Kaanapali, and we
	12	to ask why some do it and some don't. Some of

clause	13	them are they going to have a grandfather
	14	because now their lights are out there? I mean,
the	15	it's the first time this issue is written out to
	16	veins of the heart.
	17	So, let's review it again. I mean or
a	18	let's get a criminal report. Let's ask them do
ripped	19	criminal study of all the hotels of who been
parking	20	off by entry coming in from the ocean or the
real	21	lot. There needs to be a study I mean, a
that is	22	good study because this is something that
	23	going to be heavily impacting Hawaii beside our
	24	beautiful moon and our morning sun and street
	25	lights.

	S-OLS	3/7/02
13		

discuss	1	And matter of fact, I we need to
	2	it more. I kind of feel kind of kind of just
the	3	like I'm in the wrong area right now, but I love
	4	question. Yeah, I love the question because I -

-

(inaudible	5	you know those you know those beaches
you	6	Kaanapali? You ever go there, any of you? Have
	7	seen it? It's all (inaudible). For what?
think	8	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kuloloio. I
	9	you answered the question for Dr. Altenberg.
	10	MR. KULOLOIO: Thank you.
Committee	11	CHAIR MOLINA: Dr. Altenberg or any of the other
at	12	members, do you have questions for Mr. Kuloloio
	13	this time? Okay. Thank you.
Sutrov.	14	Okay. Next to testify, we have Steve
is	15	MR. SUTROV: Good morning everyone, Chairman. My name
	16	Steve Sutrov. I'm here to testify for myself.
believe	17	I'd just like to say briefly what I
quickly	18	we've heard so far in the last few meetings
impacts	19	just we've heard from some biologists on
(inaudible	20	on wildlife, on turtles and birds and
impacts	21	scientists from the observatories and the
right	22	that we have a very serious lighting problem
we	23	now with our observatories in the State, which
the	24	have the fifth one of the fifth top sites in
	25	world.

1.4	S-OLS 3/7,	S-OLS 3/7/02	
14			
	1	We've heard from lighting engineers which	
that	2	have the probably the one of the sectors	
CHac			
	3	is going to be losing most by our good light	
	4	outdoor lighting ordinance. They will be losing	
	5	business because they won't be able to design as	
	6	they like to design using all types of available	
is	7	lighting. They will have to be utilizing what	
use.	8	best for the environment and best for energy	
be a	9	They are definitely the ones that are going to	
along	10	opponent of a good lighting ordinance and	
lose	11	with Maui Electric. Maui Electric has a lot to	
going	12	by this lighting ordinance also. If we were	
Big	13	to be saving much energy in our County as the	
	14	Island does using low-pressure sodium and better	
losing	15	lighting technology, Maui Electric will be	
	16	big time.	
	17	A couple things of to comment on is	

way	18	mercury vapor lamps, I think we've been spending
_	19	too much time on that in this Subcommittee. You
I	20	probably dedicated a whole day to that already.
and	21	think I think you should move on from that
	22	realize that the lamp needs to be phased out
luminaire.	23	eventually, but the main problem is the
	24	The luminaire is what pollutes. You have a full
to	25	cutoff mercury vapor lamp, and you're not going

15	S-OLS 3/7/	/02
13		
	1	be dealing with much of a problem. But it's the
	2	luminaire that most mercury vapor lamps utilize.
aamo	3	It's a very polluting fixture; but you have the
same		
	4	fixtures right now, you can buy them with
	5	fluorescent tubes, the exact same (inaudible)
type		
	6	lighting struc fixtures.
	7	And so, it's not the bulb it's not the
of	8	lamp that's most important here. It's the type
OI		
cutoff	9	fixtures. It must be retrofitted to be full
CGCOII		
	10	or be phased out completely within a reasonable

focus	11	amount of time; and that's what you've got to
	12	on, I believe.
	13	We had represent representatives from
	14	Phillips Lighting that talked lamps. He's a
	15	lighting engineer and he's a lamp specialist and
sodium	16	he he verifies the point that low-pressure
	17	bulbs are the best for well, actually it says
commercial	18 L	provides the lowest operating cost of any
life	19	(inaudible). He said they provide a long lamp
ultraviole	20 et	with low operating temperatures and no
	21	output. Vision is improved due to better eye
of	22	adaption, better visual clarity, creative speed
all	23	perception of objects and low glare; and it's
	24	been stated before, that that is the case of
	25	low-pressure sodium.

from	1	On the Big Island we have information
	2	them now stating that they developed one on
	3	installation and maintenance and

S-OLS 3/7/02

16

```
4 MR. SALDANA: Three minutes.
5 MR. SUTROV: I'd like -- did you say I -- (inaudible)
```

my

- 6 time up?
- 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Go ahead.
- 8 MR. SUTROV: Okay.

9 $\,$ CHAIR MOLINA: You've got one more minute to wrap up, and

- if you would like to --
- 11 MR. SUTROV: Okay.
- 12 CHAIR MOLINA: -- request additional time --
- 13 MR. SUTROV: On the Big Island they do all of their
- 14 installation and maintenance without hiring outside
- 15 companies; and their rates, it seems, for what they
- 16 retrofit, I hope -- their lighting is about half of
 - 17 what Maui Electric has estimated. They say for
- 18 55-watt low-pressure sodium retrofit, it's \$307.44
- \$19\$ with maybe 100 to \$200 per fixture for installation.
- 20 Maui Electric estimated \$900. I don't know where
- \$21\$ they get that from. Oahu Engineer estimated \$336\$
- for a 55-watt low-pressure sodium retrofit ordered.
- 23 And so, this is something we've got to look
- $\,$ 24 $\,$ at. We've got to look at -- if we're going to do a
- general project here on Maui with lighting, let's

17	S-OLS 3/7/02
	get some other estimates before we say that it's going to cost us \$900 a fixture. I think that's
	3 that figure is out to lunch. I think also the
	4 County has got to look at maybe maybe another
	5 contract for
	6 MR. SALDANA: Four minutes.
	7 MR. SUTROV: installation and maintenance.
	8 CHAIR MOLINA: Steve, would you like to return
	9 MR. SUTROV: I'd like to come back.
you.	10 CHAIR MOLINA: for three minutes? Okay. Thank
Miyasato.	11 Returning for three minutes we have Mr.
going	12 VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Mr. Chairman, I thought we were
him	to treat him as a resource person and just bring
	back to finish his presentation after testimony.
	15 CHAIR MOLINA: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood
	16 then. I was under the assumption he wanted his
	because he did ask for three minutes.
withdraw	18 Mr. Miyasato, would you prefer to

	19	your request for three minutes and just
'till	20	MR. MIYASATO, FROM THE AUDIENCE: Yes, I could wait
	21	the end.
	22	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Sorry about that. Okay. So
	23	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Thanks.
-	24	CHAIR MOLINA: he will be withdrawing his request
for	25	three minutes.
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
18	S-0	DLS 3/7/02
for	1	So, I guess, Steve, we have you returning
	2	an additional three minutes.
	3	MR. SUTROV, FROM THE AUDIENCE: Yeah?
	4	CHAIR MOLINA: And by the way, for anyone else in the
testify,	5	gallery, if you would like to sign up to
	6	please come up to the secretary's desk.
	7	MR. SUTROV: Okay. I'll move off from that.
engineeri	8 ng	Community plan, in a letter from
	9	to the Subcommittee here, it states that it's
standards	10	totally against all ethical engineering
	11	to not to allow to not have lighting in
	12	subdivisions. Up-country community plan, I'm

plan.	13	you're most of you are aware of of this
of	14	Some of you are if you live up-country and other
	15	you both legislators here.
just	16	In here, it has a few sections I'll
that	17	read very quickly. The most important one is
	18	under these subdivisions under improvements, it
	19	says, curbs and gutters shall not be required.
for	20	Street lighting shall not be required. This is
our	21	up-country standards to keep a rural nature of
	22	community.
says	23	Under state energy, it says it
	24	use energy efficient street lights and develop
	25	appropriate street lighting standards for

S-OLS 3/7/02 19

instances	1	agricultural and rural areas. A number of
appropriate	2	in here it talks about it requests
	3	lighting for a rural agricultural area versus a
don't	4	urban area. We have to differentiate, and I

allow	5	think we're doing that when we say we have to
	6	street lighting in subdivisions up-country.
	7	We have subdivisions that are in my my
don't	8	neighborhood doesn't have street lighting. We
	9	want it. There's a neighborhood that has street
don't	10	lighting down below me on Kimo Drive. They
	11	want the lights that are in there right now.
plans	12	It should be left up to the community
street	13	and to the residents whether or not they want
	14	lighting in their neighborhoods. It's not only
	15	polluting, but it's unnecessary.
in	16	Urban designs, No. 2, supports revision
	17	subdivision and roadway design criteria and
	18	standards to be more compatible with a rural
	19	character up-country region. Dark is a natural
when	20	environment. People don't realize that. And
	21	you add lighting, you're creating an unnatural
	22	environment.
	23	So, if I still have more time, sports
	24	lighting, smaller fields should be full cutoff
it's	25	fixtures. If you have to do a stadium where

fine;	1	not going to work with full cutoff fixtures,
- as	2	but whenever you can utilize full cutoff as it -
	3	from the soft light company, they should be
full	4	utilized. Ballparks, tennis courts should be
	5	cutoff fixtures.
which we	6	When you're dealing with a mountain,
	7	have here, where a lot of population based up
	8	there and you have anything but full cutoff
down	9	fixtures, it's going to be shining all the way
And	10	that mountain. You're at a higher elevation.
going	11	so, even if it's a full cutoff, you're still
can	12	to get down light; but you'll get less. And it
	13	be screened off with trees better than without.
	14	Thank you very much.
Committee	15	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Sutrov.
time?	16	members, any questions for Mr. Sutrov at this
	17	Seeing none, thank you.
in	18	Is there a final call for anyone out
The	19	the gallery who would like to testify. Okay.

will	20	Chair sees none, and at this point the Chair
item.	21	close testimony public testimony on today's
	22	END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY
like	23	CHAIR MOLINA: So, the first item, members, that I'd
	24	to discuss is the progress of our review of the
Subcommitt	25 cee	draft bill. To date to date, the

21	S-OLS 3/7/	02
about	1	has met four times; and it appears that we're
	2	to reach a consensus on Section .070, lamp
about	3	standards. However, I am somewhat concerned
	4	the length of time it has taken to reach an
	5	agreement on this section.
	6	We have spent a lot of time on the very
	7	technical and complex aspects of the bill, which
	8	seems to impede our progress for completing the
like	9	review of the entire bill. And the Chair would
review	10	to receive your thoughts for enhancing our
take	11	process, and I would also like for us to maybe

we're	12	a step back and assess our objectives for what
achieve	13	attempting to accomplish and how we might
too	14	our goals in order to prevent us from getting
not be	15	bogged down with technical review that we may
	16	able to achieve consensus.
you at	17	So, I just basically throw this out to
	18	this time; and as you heard from one of our
lot of	19	testifiers, we did we have been spending a
mercury	20	time on, for example, the discussion of the
has a	21	vapor lamp. And seeing that this Subcommittee
like to	22	limited amount of time to meet, I would just
	23	throw this out at you and get any suggestions or
	24	recommendations, basically, if you want to stay
	25	status quo or if you would like to hear another

22	S-OLS 3/7/02	
start	1 2	suggestion that I have for your consideration. So, first of all, let's go ahead and
	3	with Ms. Tavares.

4	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah. Mr. Molina, your party
5	probably ran late last night; but could we have
6 presentation	Mr. Miyasato come back and finish his
7	at this time before we get into the other stuff?
8 objections	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. All right. Members, any
9 presentation?	to having Mr. Miyasato complete his
10	?: No.
11	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Dr. Altenberg?
12 is a	MR. ALTENBERG: And, also, as I understand, Uncle Les
13	resource person?
14	CHAIR MOLINA: Yes, sir, yes.
15	MR. ALTENBERG: And
16 ask	CHAIR MOLINA: And he you'd be more than welcome to
17	Uncle Les for questions, too.
18 sitting	MR. ALTENBERG: Would it be proper for him to be
19	with the other resource people or
20 have a	CHAIR MOLINA: Sure. Uncle Les, would you like to
21	seat up front here?
22 ahead	Okay. Mr. Miyasato, if you want to go
23	and continue your presentation to the members.
24	MR. MIYASATO: Yeah. Thank you. In Exhibit A that I
25	passed out again, I did a comparison with three

S-OLS 3/7/02 23 1 different types of fixtures. 2 Again, to repeat myself, Layout 1 was done 3 with a roadway-type fixture with a drop lens. Layout 2 was done with a roadway-type fixture with a 5 cutoff lens, and road -- Layout 3 was done with low-pressure sodium fixture. 6 What I tried to achieve was trying to make 8 and meet the requirements for IES for a certain type 9 of roadway, a major commercial. In IES, that amounts to a 1.2 footcandle average and a 10 uniformity of three to one. Uniformity is just the ratio 11 of 12 the average to the minimum value on the roadway 13 surface. In my profession, I use a third-party 14 serv --15 software to utilize the photometrics of the fixture 16 into an area that requires calculation. Based on that, in Exhibit A, there is data that states 17 the 18 number of spacing per fixture. 19 The greatest spacing per fixture is the

drop

Based	20	lens at 150 feet. The cutoff lens is at 136.
	21	on this, in a one-mile section, you would need
	22	approximately 70 fixtures for the drop lens, 78
	23	fixtures for the cutoff lens, and 107 for the
	24	low-pressure sodium.
you	25	Considering the lamp and ballast losses,

24	S-OLS 3/7/	02
compare	1	can see that in a one-mile section, if you
	2	Layout 1 to Layout 3, there is only a 500-watt
	3	difference between the drop lens and the
	4	low-pressure sodium in a one-mile section.
drop	5	If you compare the cost wise between a
sodium	6	lens high-pressure sodium and a low-pressure
went	7	fixture, it's about 3.7 times the cost. If you
would	8	to a cutoff high-pressure sodium fixture, it
to	9	go approximately 10 percent of the cost compared
	10	a drop lens fixture.
County	11	Another note to point out is if the

	12	was considering retrofitting the existing street
designed	13	lighting poles, street lighting poles are
As	14	to have a certain wind loading on those poles.
have	15	you can see in the second-to-the-last column, I
	16	listed down the wind loading per fixture.
	17	If the County was to retrofit a standard
fixture,	18	roadway fixture to a low-pressure sodium
for	19	the condition of the pole might not be suitable
might	20	that type of fixture. Therefore, the County
	21	be responsible to changing out that pole.
	22	Are there any questions?
followed	23	CHAIR MOLINA: Members, questions? Mr. Maberry
	24	Dr. Altenberg.
current	25	MR. MABERRY: Yes, sir. Are you aware that under

25	
	1 street lighting standards in Maui County, that
drop	2 new new luminaires are not allowed to use
arop	
	3 lenses?
	4 MR. MIYASATO: No, I'm not aware.

S-OLS 3/7/02

	5	MR. MABERRY: Okay. The other thing, if I may simply
other	6	quote here real quick and then I'll let the
	7	people have a question from your own exhibit
	8	No. 3 here, under low-pressure sodium
	9	MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
	10	MR. MABERRY: lamps Form 10, Form 10 low-pressure
efficient	11	sodium luminaire combines one of the most
	12	light sources available with advanced optical
	13	technology through Gardco's leadership in sharp
	14	cutoff reflector systems with wide uniform
luminaire	15	glare-free light distribution. A lamp and
is	16	package unequaled in performance and efficiency
	17	available.
	18	MR. MIYASATO: Yes, low-pressure sodium, based on the
efficient	19	amount of lumens per wattage, is highly
	20	compared to high-pressure sodium. If you look
the	21	closely, low-pressure sodium the length of
the	22	lamp is probably two to three times longer than
representa	23 tive	high-pressure sodium. The Phillips
	24	can probably attest to that.
light	25	Because of this, you cannot focus that

S-OLS 3/7/02 26 1 source in a controllable manner as well as you can 2 with high-pressure sodium or metal halide. 3 Otherwise, in a low-pressure sodium fixture, you basically have two types of lighting, just a general blob or more asymmetric blob. While, in a 5 6 high-pressure sodium lighting, you have precise distribution points. MR. MABERRY: May I follow up? 8 9 CHAIR MOLINA: Go ahead, Mr. Maberry. MR. MABERRY: Based on what you said just a second ago 10 11 regarding their efficiency -- and, again, with the -- their reference here of this -- of this 12 Form, is it -- I realize this is speculation; but is 13 that possibly why that, you know, most of the major 14 countries -- when I say major countries, 1.5 advanced 16 technological companies in Europe, you know, use low-pressure sodium luminaires on their 17 highways? MR. MIYASATO: Yeah, I'm aware that European countries 18 do 19 use low-pressure sodium in their countries. 20 MR. MABERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Maberry.

21

	22	Dr. Altenberg?
quite	23	MR. ALTENBERG: Several questions. First, I've seen
of	24	a range of quotations on the cost per fixtures
who	25	these different lights. The Rob Billingsley,
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
27	S-03	LS 3/7/02
Electrical	1	is a sales representative for Pacific
	2	the
	3	?: (Inaudible).
	4	MR. ALTENBERG: luminaire manufacturer
	5	CHAIR MOLINA: Yeah, he's for Dimensions Electrical.
	6	MR. ALTENBERG: Dimensions, yeah, but he's a an
	7	authorized sales representative for one of the
	8	manufacturers of luminaires
	9	CHAIR MOLINA: Correct.
	10	MR. ALTENBERG: that they've again using on the Big
	11	Island for their low-pressure sodium lamps. And
	12	they're called Pacific as well, I guess, no
	12 13	they're called Pacific as well, I guess, no connection to your company?
	13	connection to your company?

the	17	MR. ALTENBERG: for a luminaire which would bring
A	18	estimated fixture cost per mile on this Exhibit
another	19	down to 36,000, I should point out. Then
	20	issue, though, is this major commercial roadway
	21	standard.
	22	MR. MIYASATO: Uh-huh.
Maui,	23	MR. ALTENBERG: I from what what I've seen on
intersecti	24 Lons.	the main use of street lights is at
	25	MR. MIYASATO: Okay.

28	S-OLS 3/7/02
	1 MR. ALTENBERG: There are very few light roads
the	2 themselves that are lit up the entire length of
is	3 road. And one possible exception in the future
have	4 Makena Alanui which seems to have been they
	5 installed street lights to a level of an urban
of	6 commercial roadway but along the entire length
that	7 the road; but most of the other roads I know
	8 I don't do not seem to be major commercial

on	9	roadways. And so, I'm wondering, for example,
use	10	the Big Island, what the distance is that they
of	11	between their street lights. Would you be aware
	12	that?
lighting	13 MR	. MIYASATO: I'm not aware of it. We have done
	14	analysis for the Big Island using low-pressure
know	15	sodium. Off the top of my head, no, I do not
	16	the spacing.
	17 MR	. ALTENBERG: Does anyone know the current spacing
at	18	between street lights that's being used on Maui
	19	intersections?
Maui;	20 MR	. MIYASATO: Again, we have done intersections on
Maui	21	but the exact spacing, no. Probably someone at
	22	Electric would be more familiar.
that	23 MR	. ALTENBERG: And so well, it would seem to me
for	24	it would be very helpful to get similar exhibits
using	25	the kinds of illumination that Maui has been

better	1	in addition to major commercial roadways to
	2	come up with a cost comparison.
	3	MR. MIYASATO: I see. Layout 1 is pretty similar in
roadways.	4	what a light fixture being used on Maui
Committee	5	The cost is just an approximate. If the
at a	6	wants to get an actual cost, they can obtain it
	7	local distributor. For example, on Maui there's
actual	8	Alpha Electric, Wesco, that they can get an
all	9	cost of an actual fixture. These numbers are
	10	budgetary.
not	11	And, for the record, Layout 3, Gardco, is
	12	a company that we represent. We represent other
	13	manufacturers with low-pressure sodium, so
	14	MR. ALTENBERG: Thank you.
	15	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, any other questions?
	16	Mr. Chong?
	17	MR. CHONG: Rick Chong. Eric, I believe the paragraph
Gardco	18	that Mike Maberry referred to on the Form 10
	19	is more of a manufacturer's statement to compare
pressure	20	themselves to other manufacturers of low-
	21	sodium and not manufacturers of any type of
	22	luminaire; is that correct?
	23	MR. MIYASATO: Correct.

thev	24	MR. CHONG:	Okay.	In your	r study c	n the Big	s Island,
chey							
	25	don'	t normal	ly use f	full cuto	ff low-pr	ressure
sodium							

30	S-OLS 3/7/02
	<pre>1 luminaires in their street lighting?</pre>
	2 MR. MIYASATO: No, they do not.
drop	3 MR. CHONG: They normally use luminaires that have
	4 lenses?
	5 MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
	6 MR. CHONG: How would that affect your study? Did you
	7 consider using a 90-watt low-pressure sodium
on	8 luminaire with a typical drop lens that is used
	9 the Big Island and what would that do to your
	spacing? It would be interesting to add another
information	case study No. 4 with that just for the
	of the people on the Committee.
	MR. MIYASATO: I can I actually took a look at that
	fixture; and when I go back to Honolulu, I can
	submit something to the Committee based on that
	16 fixture.
	MR. CHONG: Yeah, if you could
	18 MR. MIYASATO: It was it was approximately the same

	19	distance.
	20	MR. CHONG: That's all, Chairman.
Maberry?	21	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chong. Mr.
recognize	22	MR. MABERRY: Just for clarification, sir, I do
on	23	that this was sort of a manufacturer's comment
specifies	24	their particular luminaire; but it still
efficient	25	that the low-pressure sodium is the most

31	S-OLS 3/7/02	
31		
	<pre>1 light source available.</pre>	
17011	2 Regarding the other two luminaires that	
you	3 presented us, it clearly states on both of them	า
that	p	
	4 they are not turtle friendly and that there are	ž
	5 turtle friendly options, I guess, available fro	m
	6 this company.	
	7 MR. MIYASATO: Yes. GE has an option for the fixture	S
to		
	8 comply with the turtle friendly atmosphere in	
colored	9 Florida. They changed the lens to a amber-	
pressure	10 lens; but they still maintain using high-	

sodium	11	sodium as the light source, not low-pressure
an	12	because they have found information that using
	13	amber-colored lens with the high-pressure sodium
greatly	14	lamp is the vision of the turtle is not
GE	15	affected. I have a copy a single copy from
	16	based on that.
	17	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Mr. Maberry.
copies	18	MR. MABERRY: Mr. Chairman, could we possibly get
	19	for that at some point for the Committee? This
	20	is
	21	CHAIR MOLINA: Sure.
	22	MR. MABERRY: pretty good information that might be
	23	useful.
	24	CHAIR MOLINA: Sure, will do.
sorry.	25	MR. MABERRY: Thank you. Does General oh, I'm

S-OLS 3/7/02

32

- 1 CHAIR MOLINA: Go ahead.
- 2 MR. MABERRY: Does General Electric manufacture
- 3 low-pressure sodium lamps?

```
MR. MIYASATO: No, General Electric Lamps is a
division of
                      General Electric. They do manufacture low-
pressure
                      sodium lamps. General Electric Fixtures do not
            7
                      manufacture any fixture that has low-pressure
            8
                      sodium, and that's about 10,000 fixtures. I
have a
            9
                      GE catalog, so....
           10
                 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Altenberg. Any
other
           11
                      questions from the Committee for Mr. Miyasato?
Ιf
           12
                      not, thank you, Mr. Miyasato; and although
you're
                      not listed officially as a resource person, if
           13
you
           14
                      would like to remain in the gallery in case any
           15
                      questions arise, we'd like to -- may call on
you.
           16
                VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Mr. Chairman.
           17
                CHAIR MOLINA: Yes, Ms. Tavares.
                VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: I have a question. What prompted
           18
you
           19
                      to come to this meeting?
                MR. MIYASATO: I am -- I am born and raised on Maui.
           20
           21
                VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Uh-huh.
           22
                MR. MIYASATO: And I am in the lighting industry; and
when
           23
                      I was aware of this lighting ordinance, I
reviewed
           24
                      it. That brought my concern to attend here.
           25
                VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Okay. Thank you very much for
```

33	S-OLS 3/7/02
made	1 coming. I know you're coming from Honolulu and
	2 quite an effort to get her. Appreciate it.
	3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Mr. Maberry?
	4 MR. MABERRY: Recognizing the industry you're in, that
	5 but if you grew up here on Maui as a boy, do you
up	6 notice what part of the island did you grow
	7 in, if I may ask?
Baldwin	8 MR. MIYASATO: I grew up in Kahului. I went to
	9 High School.
	10 MR. MABERRY: Okay.
	MR. MIYASATO: And over the years I have noticed the
	12 development in Kihei.
pollution	MR. MABERRY: But obviously there's more light
you	on Maui than nowadays than there were when
way?	15 were a child. And does this bother you in any
think it	MR. MIYASATO: Well, it bothers me. That's why I
to	is a good idea to have a lighting ordinance, but
sodium	18 restrict yourself to mandating low-pressure

	19	throughout the County is very prohibitive.
think	20	MR. MABERRY: And so, what other alternative do you
	21	that we should look at to try to keep from
	22	continuing with luminaires such as this Cobra
	23	head
	24	MR. MIYASATO: Using cutoff
	25	MR. MABERRY: which is highly polluting.

34	S-0	S-OLS 3/7/02		
that	1	MR. MIYASATO: Using cutoff and semi cutoff fixtures		
itself	2	inhibit the bare lamp of basically exposing		
	3	upwards.		
	4	For example, under the ordinance, Maui		
	5	Marketplace, the parking lot, does not comply to		
the	6	your full cutoff standards. The parking lot at		
lighting	7	Maui Airport does not comply. The walkway		
Those	8	at Maui Community College does not comply.		
	9	are just three examples. I can probably		
	10	MR. MABERRY: Well		
	11	MR. MIYASATO: go around town		
lot of	12	MR. MABERRY: Yeah. Pardon me. I'm there are a		

	13	places that don't comply.
	14	MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
	15	MR. MABERRY: I mean, even with the most recent past
	16	street lighting standards of Maui County, which
	17	limits the height of the poles to 20 feet
	18	MR. MIYASATO: Uh-huh.
that	19	MR. MABERRY: and with full cutoff. I mean, if
	20	seemed like that we were moving in somewhat of a
	21	correct direction, but just simply leave it at
box	22	street lights is not going to address the big
you	23	stores with 40 foot tall poles with drop lamp,
	24	know, lights lighting systems.
	25	MR. MIYASATO: Yes, I understand; but that's part of

here,	1	development. If the big box stores do come
beneficial.	2	controlling the light upwards would be
the	3	And that's I'm just trying to bring aware to
pressure	4	Committee other options as opposed to low-
	5	sodium.

S-OLS 3/7/02

35

- 6 MR. MABERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Maberry.
- 8 Dr. Altenberg.
- 9 MR. ALTENBERG: A particular question, I've wondered about
 - 10 those -- those recently installed parking lot
 - 11 lights, for example at, as you mentioned, Maui
 - 12 Marketplace --
 - 13 MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
 - 14 MR. ALTENBERG: -- and the new Safeway center. Those

--

- 15 those lamps use what I've been heard called sag
- lenses. Is that a proper term?
- 17 MR. MIYASATO: Correct.
- 18 MR. ALTENBERG: And I also understand that those --

the

19 manufacturers of those lamps also make flat

lenses

- for those same luminaires; is that correct?
- 21 MR. MIYASATO: Yes, they do.
- 22 MR. ALTENBERG: Do you have any idea what the cost of
- 23 replacing that sag lens with a flat lens would

be?

- 24 MR. MIYASATO: In those fixtures the lamps are
- vertical.
- 25 So, in order to make a flat lens, it won't quite

```
meet the construction of that fixture to fit the
            1
            2
                       lamp in that fixture.
            3
                              The reason they use that type of lamp is
t.o
                       get a greater spacing on the poles. Maybe
according
            5
                       to their layout that they could only place the
poles
            6
                       on certain islands within the parking lot and to
                       achieve a certain light level to maintain
safety,
            8
                       that they were limited to the type of fixtures
to
            9
                       use.
                 MR. ALTENBERG: So, are you saying they could not be
           10
           11
                       retrofit with a flat lens?
                 MR. MIYASATO: On that particular fixture, no. If
           12
they
           13
                       wanted to, they could change the head of the
           14
                       fixture.
                 MR. ALTENBERG: The luminaire?
           15
           16
                MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
                 MR. ALTENBERG: And you're saying it's because the
           17
bulb is
           18
                       too long?
                 MR. MIYASATO: Well --
           19
           20
                 MR. ALTENBERG: It's vertical?
           21
                 MR. MIYASATO: -- the bulb drops down. That's why it
has
           22
                       a sag lens. With the lamp being in a vertical
                       position, it optimizes the reflector; and it
           23
                       utilizes a greater area of coverage.
           24
```

 $\,$ 25 $\,$ MR. ALTENBERG: And there's no shorter lenses that could

37	S-OLS 3/7/02
	<pre>be shorter lamps that could be used to allow putting a flat luminaire a flat lens on those</pre>
	3 luminaires?
That's	4 MR. MIYASATO: No, not in that particular fixture.
	5 why that fixture is not considered a full cutoff
	6 MR. ALTENBERG: Yeah.
	7 MR. MIYASATO: but is more a semi cutoff.
	8 MR. ALTENBERG: Do you know what it would require to
replace	9 retrofit such a fixture? Just you're saying
	the luminaire, there's no less costing method?
do	11 MR. MIYASATO: With that model and series, no. They
fixtures	that manufacturer does have other types of
	with flat lens and with cutoff aspects.
	MR. ALTENBERG: The other is a more general question,
	15 basically, the this recent study that was
this	published widely in the scientific literature
a	17 year about global light pollution, really, it's

coming	18	global problem that it's really essentially
	19	from one industry, one small part of our entire
skies	20	commercial sector that's polluting the night
this	21	for most of the people in the world. And I see
industry;	22	as a this is basically a problem of an
	23	and, you know, we're it seems to me we're
the	24	somewhere where back in 19 in the 1950s in
	25	petroleum industry and looking at pollution of

38	S-OLS 3/7/	702
part	1	waterways, et cetera. And I'm wondering if a
	2	of the problem is that the values that have been
in	3	incorporated into the decision the denizens made
the	4	the lighting industry have not properly weighed
night	5	value of the detriment of that industry to the
when	6	skies and to wildlife and in that basically
	7	we get some of these current industry
	8	recommendations, we're basically getting more

problem	9	recommendations for more of the same kind of
1	10	that they've already caused.
	11	So, it would seem to me that the the
	12	industry needs to change because it's the
problem.		
industry.	13	Light pollution is caused by the lighting
in	14	And so, how do you see what needs to be changed
kind	15	the industry and how do you differentiate the
	16	of information that you get as from being the
	17	problematic past of the lighting industry to the
	18	modern future of it?
	19	MR. MIYASATO: Well, in the lighting industry, it all
can be	20	basically depends on the end-user. A project
considerin	21 ng	designed efficiently considering cutoff,
what I	22	light trespass on the property; but basically
does	23	see, it comes down to economics. If the owner
	24	not want to pay that amount for that particular
and	25	fixture, they end up going with the contractor

not	1	using a cost-saving type of fixture which might
	2	perform as well and it does cause more light
	3	pollution.
the	4	So, I agree that the lighting industry is
that	5	cause of light pollution. There are fixtures
the	6	cause a lot of light pollution upwards towards
choosing	7	sky, but it's just a matter of choice and
	8	the right fixture.
	9	MR. ALTENBERG: Thank you.
Chong.	10	CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Maberry to be followed by Mr.
up	11	MR. MABERRY: Thank you very much. I'd like to follow
of	12	on that. So, I mean, that's sort of the point
find a	13	all of us spending our time here is to try to
of	14	way to influence your customer to give you sort
want to	15	the freedom you need to design properly. We
they	16	find some way to influence your customer that
and	17	have to, you know, utilize proper energy saving
their	18	proper light trespass controls when they light
	19	facilities.
want	20	I don't believe that, you know, if we
Maui,	21	big box stores, if we want more convenience on

You	22	that we must live with more light pollution.
to	23	know, if it's economics if they're not going
	24	come here because we won't let them pollute our
to	25	skies with light, then maybe we don't want them

S-OLS 3/7/02

	1	come here.
times	2	MR. MIYASATO: I understand your point; but a lot of
liability.	3	with those big box stores, they also have
parking	4	If someone to was to get hurt in their
	5	lot, they would be liable.
any	6	MR. MABERRY: So, you're telling me you cannot design
	7	way on their property to provide them protection
still	8	from liability to protect their customer and
	9	protect the rest of us from their pollution?
all	10	MR. MIYASATO: As I answered Mr. Altenberg earlier, it
	11	depends on the end-user. The fixture and the
trespass.	12	project can be designed to prevent light

types	13	I'm all just stating that to consider other
	14	of sources other than low-pressure sodium.
me	15	MR. MABERRY: I appreciate that. And, please, pardon
	16	if it sounds like I'm being heavy on you as an
coming	17	individual. I agree, I really appreciate you
just	18	here today and providing us your input. It's
- if	19	extremely frustrating because it seems like if -
	20	the if the business wants to be on Maui
	21	MR. MIYASATO: Yes.
	22	MR. MABERRY: then they're going to spend the money
necessary	23	they should be required to spend the money
rest	24	to protect their customers and to protect the
	25	of us from pollution. So, I don't see it as
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090

S-OLS 3/7/02

41

	1	strictly an economical issue.
	2	I mean, if they're here, if they're Maui
	3	friendly, if they're going to be part of our
whatever	4	community, then they need to make the
	5	investment is necessary in order to be a good

back	6	neighbor as well as just taking all our money
the	7	through overhead back to corporate entities on
	8	Mainland or in Europe or Asia.
	9	MR. MIYASATO: Yes, understood; but I just I'm just
	10	trying to bring light to this different types of
	11	fixtures.
	12	MR. MABERRY: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.
	13	MR. MIYASATO: I'd like to add one thing about this
	14	ordinance.
	15	CHAIR MOLINA: Go ahead.
	16	MR. MIYASATO: In this ordinance it also encompasses
kind	17	residential lighting. On the Big Island, they
it's	18	of lump the residential lighting as long as
or	19	8100 lumens total per a one-acre site, it's more
is	20	less unabated; but in this lighting ordinance
	21	very restrictive to a local homeowner.
lighting,	22	For example, if you look at canopy
	23	canopy lighting stated in this ordinance is very
	24	general and stating that the light may not if
at	25	mounted at the ceiling level, should be shielded

	1	the sides. If this is to imply residential
#-la-a	2	lighting, that should cover garage lighting for
the		
	3	home user. And a typical home user might use a
that	4	fluorescent fixture or an incandescent fixture
cnac		
	5	exceeds the lumen output of this ordinance.
that	6	So, under this ordinance, it also states
	7	
alleviate	7	the County would supply reflectors to help
	8	such problems. So, I just want to bring aware -
- as		
	9	far as the residential aspect of this ordinance,
the		
	10	only portion that I do see falling under the
	11	residential portion Mr. Kuloloio probably
would		
	12	agree is shining spotlights from large
residences		
	13	into the ocean.
	14	If you go to Honolulu Black Point area by
	15	Diamond Head, a lot of homes do use 1000-watt
metal		
	16	halide searchlights to shine up the surf right
in		
	17	front of their million-dollar properties. So
	18	CHAIR MOLINA: You bring up some good points,
	19	Mr. Miyasato. I believe Mr. Chong had a
question.	10	111. Highbard. I believe H. Onong had a
	20	MR. CHONG: No, I knew he wanted it sounded like he

So, I	21	wanted to elaborate more on some other parts.
	22	just wanted to make sure you knew that.
	23	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. Members, any other
you	24	questions for Mr. Miyasato? Seeing none, thank
request	25	Miya Mr. Miyasato. And the Chair would

43	S-OLS 3/7/02	
case	1 that, if possible, you ren	main in the gallery in
up.	2 we have any more question:	s or issues that come
	3 And the Chair would	d like to recognize
today's	4 Committee member Bernard	for coming by for
	5 proceedings.	
	6 MS. BERNARD: Aloha.	
would	7 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you. At the	his point, the Chair
like	8 like to take a just a l	brief break but would
	9 to remind you that the Cha	air was informed by the
technologi	10 camera committee that beca	ause of some
	11 difficulties, the cameras	will be remaining on
you	12 during our recess. So, the	he Chair reminds you

	13	are subject to sunshine laws; and, please, watch
	14	any refrain from any jokes or conver
	15	additional conversations, okay? You maybe might
	16	want to congregate outside or something for
remaining	17	whatever, okay? But the cameras will be
	18	on during the recess.
	19	So, at this point the Chair would like to
	20	take a five-minute recess. (Gavel.)
	21	RECESS: 9:53 a.m.
	22	RECONVENE: 10:04 a.m.
Lighting	23	CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel.) The Committee on Outdoor
	24	is now back in session.
would	25	Before the Chair proceeds, the Chair

S-OLS 3/7/02		02
	1	like to recognize the following personnel in
Public	2	attendance for today's proceeding. From the
the	3	Works Department we have Howard Hanzawa under
Greg	4	Land Use and Code Administration. And we have
	5	Nakao, the Electrical Inspection Supervisor; and
Joe	6	representing the Department of Planning, we have

Recreation	7	Alueta. From the Department of Parks and
has	8	we have Pat Matsui. And the Police Department
needed.	9	notified us they do have a person on call if
	10	And from the County's Energy Department, Mr. Kal
	11	Kobayashi.
	12	And the following resource people we have
Commerce;	13	we have Lynne Woods from the Chamber of
Terryl,	14	Terryl Vencl, the Maui Hotel Association
you	15	if you would feel comfortable coming up here,
	16	can take a seat also Randy Nakama from Maui
	17	Electric, Randy Piltz from the commercial light
the	18	industry. Bill George and Rob Hoonan represent
	19	hotels and resorts and, of course, Uncle Les
	20	Kuloloio.
	21	And, again, from past meetings the
	22	Occupational and Safety Health Administration
attend.	23	representative was invited but is unable to
	24	Dr. Wainscoat is currently off island right now.
invited.	25	Calvin Dang from Safe Communities was also

	1	He's not able to be here today. And we did also
Brian	2	invite from the developer's perspective Mr.
	3	Ige of the Dowling Company.
we'll	4	So, the Chair would like to con yes,
	5	start first with Mr. Maberry followed by
	6	Ms. Bernard.
Professor	7	MR. MABERRY: Mr. Chair, just to follow up on
	8	Wainscoat, he's presently in Chili attending an
	9	international conference on light pollution. It
next	10	might be interesting when he comes back for our
about	11	meeting to have him provide us with a report
	12	that meeting.
	13	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. So noted, Mr. Maberry. That's a
that	14	good idea. We can share his observations from
	15	meeting in Chili. Ms. Bernard?
	16	MS. BERNARD: Yes. Good morning. Sorry for my tardy
know	17	arrival. I just wanted to let the Committee
other	18	that we also have in the audience a couple of
King	19	resource personnel who are observing, Cheryl
Researcher	20 ,	from Hawaii Wildlife Fund, Sea Turtle
Natural	21	and Skippy Hau from Department of Land and

	22	Resources.
	23 CHAIR	MOLINA: Okay. Thank you.
	24	Okay. Prior to hearing the additional
	25	testimony from Mr. Miyasato, the Chair had asked
for		
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
46	S-OLS 3/7/	02
	1	your suggestions as to whether we would like to
	2	proceed with addressing this issue status quo,
how		
way	3	we're currently doing it, or considering another
	4	to accomplish our goals. I do have one that I'd
	5	like to share, but I wanted to get some feedback
	6	from you as to how we can somewhat expedite this
	7	matter. Any comments from the Committee at this
	8	time? Mr. Maberry?
	9 MR. M	MABERRY: I would be very interested to hear what
let	10	you what you have to propose, sir, just to
	11	you know.
	12 CHAIR	MOLINA: Sure.
	13 MR. M	MABERRY: And you can check with the others.
	14 CHAIR	MOLINA: Okay. Mr. Chong?
	15 MR. C	HONG: Same.
	16 CHAIR	MOLINA: Okay. Ms. Bernard?

	17	MS. BERNARD: Same.
	18	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. And Dr. Altenberg?
	19	MR. ALTENBERG: Ditto.
bring	20	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. All right. And, again, I just
	21	this up as a way to maybe get the ball rolling a
bear	22	little faster, so to speak. So, if you will
I'm	23	with me at this time, the recommendation that
	24	proposing is basically following what the Maui
the	25	County Arborist Committee had established via
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090

S-OLS 3/7/02

47

technical	1	Maui County Planting Plan, which is a very
	2	document setting forth guidelines and
	3	recommendations.
some	4	Analyst Saldana is passing out to you
	5	information regarding that; and these
by	6	recommendations are or were for landscaping
public	7	development and construction projects, both
	8	and private, within the County.

the	9	The enabling Maul County Code section for
	10	planting plan is 12.24A.040, landscape planting
Committee	11	plan. And the section gives the Arborist
the	12	the authority to create the plan and establishes
	13	plan as an advisory document to all County
	14	departments for setting landscaping guidelines.
creates	15	And, furthermore, Chapter 12.24A.050
Arborist	16	a plan reviewer which is the liaison to the
proposals	17	Committee and shall review all landscaping
	18	for consistency with the planting plan. And
	19	projects that are in noncompliance with the plan
	20	will be required to be sent to the Arborist
	21	Committee.
	22	And the another section that grants
	23	similar authority is Chapter 36, Indigenous
Code	24	Architecture. This section grants the Board of
would	25	Appeals the authority to establish rules which

S-OLS 3/7/02

48

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{1}}$ set the standards for administering this section.

	2	The planting plan and the indigenous
compley	3	architecture provisions are very technical,
complex,		
see	4	and have taken over a year to develop. So, I
	5	the review of the draft bill on outdoor lighting
	6	standards in a similar situation.
	7	So, considering the status of our current
review	8	review of the bill, I'm concerned that this
of	9	could take about a year. I'm not sure that all
	10	us anticipated that degree of analysis for the
we'll	11	Subcommittee; and I am considering well,
extension	12	get into a later discussion on that an
	13	for another 90 days of this Subcommittee.
a	14	So, you I do believe you've been given
	15	copy of the following recommendations, rather
	16	lengthy somewhat lengthy. Members, would you
	17	like to take a brief recess to go over these
ahead	18	recommendations at this time? Okay. Let's go
	19	and take maybe five minutes at this time. Brief
(Gavel.)	20	recess subject to the call of the Chair.
	21	RECESS: 10:10 a.m.
	22	RECONVENE: 10:14 a.m.
back	23	CHAIR MOLINA: outdoor lighting standards is now
	24	in Subcommittee meeting on outdoor lighting

49	S-OLS 3/7/	02
members	1	The Chair has given the Subcommittee
recommendat	2 cions.	a chance to review the following
from	3	Before I get questions or concerns brought up
comment	4	that from the members, I'd like to have a
	5	from the Corporation Counsel on this matter.
	6	Mr. Garneau?
your	7 MR. G	GARNEAU: Okay. Thank you, Chair. I did look at
	8	notes, and I appreciate your comments because I
important	9	think that you've brought up some an
function	10	point about the way this Subcommittee is
	11	been functioning.
I	12	Way back in the beginning when I when
	13	was approached to do a draft type up a draft
	14	ordinance that was proposed, I did so; but I had
	15	some reservations about the format that we're
	16	working within, which is a Subcommittee of the
	17	Council.

so	18	As you probably all noticed after having
we	19	many meetings, it's fairly strict format in that
and	20	have to take public testimony each time we meet;
it's	21	we have to meet in this formal setting. And
a	22	as you can tell it's a long it's going to be
go	23	long process. That doesn't mean that you can't
	24	through this process. I mean, ultimately this
	25	process would have an ordinance that's very

50	S-OLS 3/7/	02
the	1	comprehensive and have would include a all
	2	requirements that you're proposing, however they
	3	come out in terms of from your meetings.
of	4	But within the County code there's lots
where	5	places where examples that we can use to
	6	things have been done in a little bit different
	7	fashion when people are looking to do something
	8	comprehensive that's different.
you	9	The one example that the Chair had given

the	10	was the establishment of the planting plan for
was	11	County and the Arborist Committee. The way that
	12	done in the Code you can the Code section
about	13	itself is actually pretty small. It's only
	14	four pages. And it set up a Committee that was
	15	charged with developing a planting plan for the
Committee	16	County, and I see no reason that a similar
plan	17	couldn't be set up for developing a lighting
all	18	for the County that's comprehensive and includes
about	19	the technical requirements that we've talked
	20	here.
which	21	That particular Committee is advisory,
	22	is a little different, I think, than what's been
	23	discussed so far here. I think that what's been
be	24	proposed is that the Committee that's going to
not be	25	developed under this proposed ordinance would

S-OLS 3/7/02

51

1 advisory; but that said, that's one difference.

	2	But other than that, the process of going
	3	through of setting up initially a Committee,
	4	deciding who would be on the Committee, what
members	5	professionals would be, what mem what the
members	6	are and then allowing that Committee to go
through	O	are and then arrowing that committee to go
and	7	the process of considering all the alternatives
	8	reaching some kind of compromise as to a plan,
	9	that's one way.
	10	Another way this could possibly which
the		
the	11	Chair brought up would be use the example of
	12	change to the Code relating to indigenous
marsh o	13	architecture. There was a proposal I think
maybe	14	Charmaine can remember it was Kalani's
proposal,	14	Charmaine Can remember it was Narani s
	15	right?
	16	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Yes.
an	17	MR. GARNEAU: Kalani English with regards to making
	18	exception to the Building Codes that related to
	19	Hawaiian architecture, which is another big job,
	20	just like changing lighting is a because the
	21	Building Code, of course, don't provide for
	22	doesn't quite meet the needs of indigenous
	23	architecture.
	24	And so, again, the Council supported
forming		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

25 a Committee to meet -- and I believe they're pretty

52	S-OLS 3/7/02	
they	1	close to finishing up their rules. And what
	2	what they're doing, instead of a plan, they're
	3	coming up with rules that are exceptions to the
	4	Building Codes that allow for indigenous
	5	architecture in Maui County.
	6	And so but that's been also a long
	7	process. I think they've been extended a couple
them	8	times already, their meetings; but it's taken
	9	about a year maybe closer to two already
I'm	10	Charmaine is shaking her head, but so, what
I III	11	saying is in this you're looking at doing
	12	something that's really comprehensive as well.
And	12	something that a rearry comprehensive as well.
and	13	that's wonderful but realize that it's a big job
	14	you have you're bringing a lot of different
	15	players together that have to reach some sort of
continue	16	consensus because ultimately, whether you
	17	the way you've been meeting now or whether you

you	18	recommend to the Council that they establish
	19	know, adopt a new section of the ordinance and
	20	establish a Committee to do the work that you're
	21	doing, ultimately whatever is passed has to be
	22	passed by the Council to become law.
see	23	And so, the need for I mean, you can
pressure	24	so many issues already. Do we allow low-
you	25	sodium or high-pressure sodium? Do we have

53	S-OLS 3/7/02		
33			
	1	lease what amount of chickding full chickding	
	1	know, what amount of shielding, full shielding,	
all	2	partial shielding, the amber lights you know,	
worked	3	these issues that we have would have to be	
up.	4	out. Plus, the liability issues that have come	
would	5	Plus, all the cost issues have come up. Those	
would	6	all have to be worked out before the Council	
all	7	feel comfortable passing. They're going to need	
	8	that information to make a decision.	
	9	So, it I think that you know, it's	

proceed	10	entirely up to this Subcommittee how they
this	11	and you may decide that it's best that you like
but	12	framework and you want to continue but you
proceed	13	realize there are other ways that you could
	14	other than the way we are right now, so
Committee	15 CHAIR	MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Garneau.
for	16	members, any questions at this time or comments
	17	Mr. Garneau? Mr. Chong?
Committee?	18 MR. C	HONG: What's the duration of this other
Committee?	18 MR. C	HONG: What's the duration of this other I mean, is it just until you come up with
standards	19	I mean, is it just until you come up with
standards Council	19	I mean, is it just until you come up with that are agreeable by the Committee and the
standards Council Committee	19 20 21	I mean, is it just until you come up with that are agreeable by the Committee and the passes it into an ordinance and then the
standards Council Committee	19 20 21 22	I mean, is it just until you come up with that are agreeable by the Committee and the passes it into an ordinance and then the disbands or is the Committee an ongoing thing
standards Council Committee	19 20 21 22 23	I mean, is it just until you come up with that are agreeable by the Committee and the passes it into an ordinance and then the disbands or is the Committee an ongoing thing is always there for the liaison to come to any

	1	MR. GARNEAU: In terms of how the arborists work is
a	2	initially they were charged with coming up with
to do	3	planting plan, and their initial meetings were
	4	that. Once they had a completed plan and had
	5	reached reached a consensus, then that was
	6	adopted.
the	7	Their current function is advisory; and
they	8	way that they their particular role works,
plan	9	meet monthly. They don't look at every single
	10	for trees within every single subdivision. What
	11	that particular section of the Maui County Code
	12	establishes a plan reviewer who reviews plans in
if	13	light of the planting plan and say, okay, well,
they're	14	a if a developer comes in and they're
plan,	15	meeting all the requirements of the planting
	16	then the Department is able to sign off on it.
	17	Where they where they don't meet the
Like,	18	standards and there's lots of reasons why.
road	19	for example, I know in the terms of the the
	20	frontages on a subdivision, you may not have
trees	21	adequate road frontage to meet the number of
they	22	that are required under the planting plan. So,

those	23	may want to so, they'll refer those only
Arborist	24	ones that don't meet the standards to the
	25	Committee who then reviews it. And, of course,

55	S-OLS 3/7/	/02
in	1	there's and arborist on there. There's citizens
and	2	there. There's a representative from the County
	3	so forth. And so, they recommend some sort of
	4	compromise in those situations.
	5	So, they only see the ones that don't
	6	conform. Everybody that conforms that gets
	7	signed up. So, in a way, even though they're an
	8	advisory Committee, in the area of trees being
way	9	planted in projects, their planting plan in a
if	10	acts like a requirement or an ordinance because
doesn't	11	they don't if a developer comes in and
them;	12	comply, then it automatically gets referred to
know,	13	and then they make a recommendation as to, you
	14	make changes to it. So so, but theirs is an
	15	advisory one.

things	16	One of the and I just one of the
lighting	17	that perhaps you should think about because
trees.	18	is such a probably even a bigger area than
a	19	There's so many more lights than trees, that if
ordinance	20	Committee that you establish under this
that	21	had to review every single lighting request,
	22	would be a full-time job for the members of the
in	23	Committee. They would have to meet constantly
	24	order to keep up with all of the requests.
how	25	So, there's some in terms of the

56	S-OLS 3/7/	02
coming	1	this will play out, there's some benefit to
everyone	2	up with a lighting plan a standard that
	3	can refer on and agree to and only then the
things	4	Committee would only concern themselves with
it	5	that vary from that standard because, otherwise,
	6	would be a I think, a huge job.

	7	And I we would know that already with
	8	Committees that have been established within the
serve	9	County, we have a hard time finding people to
Committee,	10	on them. For example, on the Arborist
a	11	we I think it requires a landscape architect,
	12	certified arborist. Well, most people that have
people.	13	those sort of certifications, they're busy
And	14	They have they have full-time businesses.
meet	15	so, you need to make it possible for them to
	16	their whatever you charge this new Committee
be	17	with, you have to be mindful that there has to
takes	18	people that are willing to do it; and if it
meeting a	19	more time than, you know, two or four-hour
	20	month, you're probably going to have a hard time
to	21	finding people. And then so, you don't want
Committee	22	put in place an ordinance or establish a
	23	by ordinance that we don't have any prayer of
have	24	staffing because it will you know, so, you
to	25	to be mindful of the mechanics of how it's going

S-OLS 3/7/02 57 1 work down the road. 2 And so, I think that Chair Molina is just 3 wanting you folks to kind of think about, you know, 4 longer term. Where are you going? What would how would you like -- how do you see this 5 working? And just to give you a couple of examples of how 6 7 other -- you know, other ordinances have been 8 drafted. CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Dr. Altenberg followed by 9 10 Ms. Bernard. 11 MR. ALTENBERG: I do see that there's a clear commonality in the -- in that lighting and indigenous 12 architecture and plantings are all elements of 13 the 14 design of development and that this they have in common, but the -- the legal theory behind the 15 light 16 pollution ordinances that have been act -enacted 17 by all the committees on the Mainland and the Big Island that have enacted them is that -- founded 18 basically on protecting private property owners 19 and

the public resources from light trespass, so

20

that

as	21	basically you can use any kind of light you want
	22	long as that light is not going into public
property.	23	resources or into somebody else's private
the	24	But it would appear to me that neither
architectu	25 re	tree planting issue nor the indigenous

58	S-OLS 3/7	/02
public	1	issue has has to do with protecting either
	2	resource public resources or private property
Of	3	owners from the activities of their neighbor.
include	4	course, you know, you if you wanted to
keeps	5	if somebody plants an invasive species and it
example;	6	popping up in your yard, that might be an
	7	but I don't think that's covered currently.
all	8	So, it seems to me that the reason that
	9	these communities have adopted light pollution
law is	10	standards not as planning rules but as actual
from	11	because they are protecting rights of people

would	12	the activities of those around them; and it
different	13	seem to me that that would put it into a
the	14	category from the indigenous architecture and
	15	tree planting.
cover	16	Also, those, as far as I know, don't
	17	existing these are all for future projects,
the	18	not they don't cover existing problems. And
there's	19	reason we cover existing problems is because
property	20	existing light trespass from one person's
deal	21	to another that we have I think we have to
	22	with.
see	23	So, that would be a reason that I would
	24	that this this proposal would be problematic.
	25	Also, because we're talking about protection of

59	S-OLS 3/7/	02
be	1	rights, I think that's something that needs to
	2	democratically approved by the County Council;

that	3	whereas, you could have changes to these rules
that	4	would be made by the these appointed members
	5	may or may not represent the will of the people.
applying	6	And I think that makes another problem of
	7	the approach of indigenous architecture and the
Any	8	planting plan to the issue of light pollution.
	9	comments?
	10 MR. GA	ARNEAU: And I think these are just suggestions
	11	because of the the amount of time that you're
	12	you're in a very again, a formal process here
If	13	which already we can see it's taken a long time.
	14	we keep at this pace, I mean, what do you folks
long	15	think, a year at this or I mean, it's been a
	16	time. You think even faster than that?
this	17	You have to be able to work out within
	18	framework all of those issues that we've talked
liability,	19	about so far in terms of, you know, cost,
have	20	the mechanics of how it's going to work. You
consensus	21	to be able if you think you can reach a
think	22	within this Subcommittee, then continue. I
that	23	that the Chair was just wanting you to be aware
be	24	there are other ways that this same thing could

60	S-OLS 3/7/02
that	1 whether you think that you want to go take
	2 approach or not.
	3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Ms. Bernard?
	4 MS. BERNARD: (Inaudible).
	5 MR. ALTENBERG: Yeah, I wanted to
	6 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay.
	7 MR. ALTENBERG: I guess, but the the kind of the
together	8 underlying idea behind putting this Code
already	9 was that it was drawing from Code that was
and	10 the law in various communities on the Mainland
reinvent	11 the Big Island, so that we wouldn't have to
problem	12 the wheel. But it seems like part of the
we've	is of these Subcommittee meetings is that
	14 been trying to reinvent the wheel.
Perhaps	So, perhaps that idea wasn't valid.
outdoor	16 every community that is going to draft an

17	lighting standards needs to reinvent the wheel;
18	I would I would like us to consider the
19	possibility that the fact that some of this
20	has been worked out and is in place in other
21	communities may be able to save us some of the
22	and the review that we have been putting in here
23	basically asking questions to try to rederive
24	Code that's already been in place in numbers of
25	communities.
	18 19 20 21 22 23

S-OLS 3/7/02

61

intention,	1	So, I mean, my in the original
because	2	it was hoped that it would expedite matters
that's	3	the Code is already this is already Code
	4	law somewhere and but, I guess, a number of
	5	issues have to do with particulars of Maui, for
	6	example, the decision about low-pressure sodium.
of	7	And, you know, there have been a variety
communities	8	solutions found at other astronomical

that	9	and so, the one the one idea that we had
	10	had been proposed was to make this a sort of
the	11	Subcommittee working group; but unfortunately
	12	sunshine law prevented that from happening.
	13	But I do think if there's a way that a
some	14	less some other means of digesting through
	15	of these details outside of this Committee from
Committee	16	which they can be brought back into the
you.	17	and reported, that would be good. So thank
	18	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Altenberg.
Tavares.	19	Ms. Bernard, you will be followed by Ms.
	20	MS. BERNARD: Two comments regarding a couple of your
we	21	comments. Firstly, I do think that we could
whole	22	won't necessarily be working at this pace the
	23	way through. What we're doing here is wrestling
that	24	with some very important issues, some issues
it	25	once we once we've worked them through and

	1	seemed like they were getting pretty close to
	2	resolution already that from there on, it
	3	wouldn't be so complex. And so, in terms of the
	4	time frame, if we can just get through this very
move	5	difficult place that we're at, I think we can
	6	quickly.
taken	7	And I do feel like it's taken it's
	8	time already. I mean, I think it's been I'm
	9	trying to remember when we went when the
and	10	ordinance was proposed was at least a year ago,
And	11	this Subcommittee took a while to be formed.
And	12	so, it's we've already somewhat lost time.
	13	in the meantime, the rate of development is
	14	progressing; and so, we could end up with things
developmen	15 ts	happening where folks are building new
have	16	and they're putting in place lights that could
sky or	17	saved them money right now if they had used a
	18	turtle friendly light.
of	19	So, to me, you know, of course, speed is
money	20	the utmost concern so that we save everybody
	21	and protect what it is we're trying to protect.
Arborist	22	The second comment is regarding the
	23	Advisory Committee. You said something about

24	automatically those developments that are in
25 automatically we	noncompliance, you know, they're

63	S-OLS 3/7/	02
	1	know about them. And I wasn't clear how it's
	2	identified when something is in noncompliance in
	3	regards to that Subcommittee.
are	4 MR. G	ARNEAU: The way theirs works is that people that
subdivision	5	coming in for you know, for example,
	6	approvals, they have to comply with the planting
	7	plan by planting street trees. That's what it
type	8	requires. So, the planting plan sets forth the
	9	of trees that can be planted, where they how
and so	10	you know, how closely they have to be planted
	11	forth. So, when the landscape architect is
then	12	designing the plan designing the pot plan,
for	13	they they refer to our County planting plan
	14	guidance.
	15	So, that comes into the into a plans

through it	16	reviewer at the department; and they look
does,	17	and say, okay, yes, this does comply. If it
different	18	they can approve it. If there's something
that	19	about the project, either they're using trees
	20	aren't recommended, they're not spacing them the
	21	same, perhaps the frontages won't allow them to
and	22	comply, all those situations are not approved
at	23	are referred to the Arborist Committee to look
	24	it.
	25	So, then what happens is the landscape

Committee;	1	architect or the developer comes to the
folks	2	and they'll make a proposal. And so, then the
the	3	there get a chance to review it; and you have
	4	expertise on the Committee, you know, with an
make	5	arborist and landscape folks. And then they'll
know,	6	a recommendation as to how to proceed. You

S-OLS 3/7/02

64

the	7	they'll either say, no, we don't want to approve
certain	8	plan or but we'll approve it if you put
	9	changes and so forth.
go	10	So, it's that's the process that they
the	11	through. So, there's someone designated within
	12	Department to review all these plans to see if
planting	13	they're in compliance with the with the
works.	14	plan. That's how that the mechanics of it
	15	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mis that's
	16	Ms. Bernard, thank you. Okay. Ms. Tavares?
time	17	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: You know, I know that it is a
of	18	issue here, and we want to get a result of all
meetings	19 to	these meetings. Nobody likes to come to
us	20	just sit around and gab well, maybe some of
and	21	do; but because you know, as Greg pointed out
the	22	others have pointed out, when we're we're in
and as	23	process that we're in as government officials
Council,	24	an official Committee Subcommittee of a
really	25	we have to follow certain rules. And that

65	S-OL	S-OLS 3/7/02		
	1	impedes us in a lot of ways; but, you know, it's		
	2	there for a reason. We have to follow it.		
	3	I think that we shouldn't be so concerned		
on	4	about how long it's taking us because I have sat		
if	5	many subcommittees for years, some of them; and		
time	6	you want a good product, you need to put in the		
good	7	and not worry about a clock ticking. It's not		
I	8	to rush through something and have a junk piece.		
years	9	mean, look at the sign ordinance. How many		
	10	did that take, seven?		
	11	CHAIR MOLINA: About five.		
	12	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Five?		
	13	CHAIR MOLINA: Yeah.		
about	14	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Well oh, yeah, that's right,		
were	15	five. When I first came on the Council, they		
	16	starting that. So, you know, it goes through		
tried	17	various iterations; and it gets reviewed and		
of	18	to make be made better. We're on the verge		
one	19	passing it finally; but if we passed the first		

been	20	out that came to us as a Council, we would have
	21	up to here with all kinds of complaints and the
going	22	Board of Errants and Appeals would have been
	23	nuts because every case would have gone to them.
at	24	So, I think, you know, spending the time
going	25	this level is important. I think that we're

66	S-OLS 3/7,	/02
you	1	to probably get through the technical part
get	2	know, where we're bogged down right now, we can
forward.	3	through that technical part and then move
that	4	And at some point, you know, I still believe
things	5	the Committee has to focus on some one or two
an	6	that they're going to put in here and put into
	7	ordinance that will assist the developers or the
	8	builders in the future.
	9	And let's not worry so much about what's
stuff	10	happened in the past. Let's get the future

every	11	under control because as Sarah says, you know,
if	12	day we wait, there's more things coming up. So,
we	13	we could focus on that part; and then later on
to	14	can go back and look at, okay, if they're going
within	15	retrofit or if we're going to require that
be	16	five years or within seven years that everything
ways	17	converted over to something, we have to look at
this.	18	that we provide incentives for people to do
to do	19	And because it's going to cost people money
bulb is	20	it. As we heard, you know, changing a light
fixture.	21	a whole lot easier than changing a whole
to	22	And, you know, how many Portuguese does it take
	23	change a light bulb? We all know that story.
	24	But, you know, that's kind of where I'm
hardest	25	coming from, too. So, I think we're in the

resolved	1		part right now; and once we get that part
-	2		and all the information that we want to gather -
	3		and I got to remind everybody, the whole that
than	4		myself, too. I have to do this for myself more
and	5		anybody else. The whole thing about politics
	6		government is that it's a compromise. Life's a
you	7		compromise. So, if we all dig our heels in and,
not	8		know you know, provide a stature where we're
important,	9		going to bend at all because this is so
	10		we are just going to get be stagnated in this
somewhere	11		Committee. We have to be willing to meet
feel	12		in the middle where we can accomplish what we
to	13		our most important objectives are and be willing
on	14		make some you know, we have to give and take
and	15		this because we've got many sides to this issue
	16		we're not going to find a solution that pleases
	17		everybody 100 percent, but maybe we can find one
think	18		that pleases everyone maybe 60 percent. And I
	19		that's what we have to work toward. Thank you.
	20	CHAIR	MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. Members, any other
do	21		comments or questions on this matter? Okay. We

personnel.	22	have a comment from one of our resource
	23	Ms. Vencl?
	24	MS. VENCL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to ditto
I've	25	Charmaine's comments. I think that certainly

68	S-OLS 3/7/02	
County;	1	seen subcommittees go for many years in this
think	2	and if that's the way we chose to go, then I
that	3	we begin that process by eliminating the fact
	4	we've got a we've got an end result at a
of	5	particular date in time because I think a lot
	6	people, at least that I'm talking to now, are
to	7	concerned that we've got, what, one more meeting
like	8	deal with this and then so, we're feeling
	9	we're forced into making some kinds of decisions
	10	when we're not anywhere near a compromise stage.
another	11	I'd like to speak as a resource from
force.	12	point of view at this point. That is task
and	13	I've done numerous task force with this County

wondered	14	I've never said this publicly before but I
	15	how we got to this point without first having a
this	16	group of people to come together maybe it's
Counsel	17	Committee that Corporation is Corporation
something	18	and the Chair is suggesting. Maybe it's
issue	19	different. But in the past when we've had an
	20	that is as big as this and that affects as many
put	21	people and businesses as this affects, we have
We	22	our people together on all the different sides.
come	23	have worked outside this formal structure to
Chairman,	24	back to the Council, your Committee, Mr.
We've	25	Public Works, and say, we've worked on this.

69	S-OLS 3/7/	02
in	1 2	come to compromise. Things that I see missing at this point
_	3	time and maybe it's because we've only talked about light bulbs and that's not my kuleana -

	5	but I see things missing that should be in this
grandfathe	6 ering	discussion, things like some issue of
when	7	with a clause to change over to new standards
	8	you need to, incentives for people to want to do
that	9	this, the new standards on new development so
are,	10	those people know coming in what their costs
compliance	11	all of those kinds of things County
kinds	12	the enforcement issue. All of those are the
	13	of things that, at least in my previous history,
on	14	have been talked about, have been discussed, put
get	15	the table with all sides at the table before we
	16	to this more formal kind of setting.
the	17	So, I like the idea of doing something in
some	18	way of a Committee or a task force to iron out
the	19	of these things and either bringing it back to
and	20	Subcommittee or to the Public Works Committee
	21	saying, this is what we've come up with; but I
is	22	really believe that strongly that compromise
get	23	got to be is going to be the only way we'll
of	24	through this issue. And I think there's a lot

25

70	S-OLS 3/7/	02
far.	1	the format that we've been working through thus
	2	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Chong?	3 CHAIF	R MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Vencl. Mr.
of	4 MR. 0	CHONG: I have a question. I've only been a part
	5	this exercise since this Subcommittee became
	6	official and had nothing to do with the ad hoc
and	7	Committee who prepared the rough draft, so
	8	from my my neophyte expertise in County
is	9	proceedings, was that as formal as this is? And
all	10	there a way to go back to that step and revisit
	11	these issues and then come back to a formal
have a	12	Committee Subcommittee format where we can
	13	document that's a little more compromised versus
	14	what we are dealing with now?
Committee	15 CHAIF	R MOLINA: My understanding was the ad hoc
	16	was just a citizens group that got together and
	17	formulated this ordinance. I think maybe

	18	Corporation Counsel would be more appropriate to
	19	respond as far as the procedures regarding that.
	20	Mr. Garneau?
	21	MR. GARNEAU: I wasn't involved at that point either.
	22	I've only been assigned since this was a
	23	Subcommittee; but, you know, at this point the
and	24	Subcommittee's been formed by the Public Works
a	25	Transportation Committee. And it has it has

71	S-OLS 3/7/	702
would	1	certain charge. So, if the I think what
	2	have to be required, if the Subcommittee is
and	3	deciding, well, we really should go backwards
refer	4	start at a different place, you would have to
	5	back to that to the Public Works and
and	6	Transportation Committee and let them know that;
they	7	then they could make a decision about whether
form	8	wanted to continue with this Subcommittee or
	9	something different.

followed	10	CHAIR MOLINA: All right. Ms. Tavares, go ahead,
	11	by Mr. Maberry.
Greg,	12	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: I lost my little would it
	13	would it be possible for us to suspend or defer
	14	any this work in this Subcommittee and maybe
extension	15	Mr. Molina would take back that we want an
then	16	of time for this particular Subcommittee and
group	17	allow time for another you know, another
let's	18	let's say if we're going back, just saying,
draft	19	get those people back together and have them
thing.	20	another ordinance for us to review kind of
have to	21	Is that possible under our thing or would we
	22	disband the Committee and then reform it again?
	23	MR. GARNEAU: I don't see any reason it's a
time	24	Subcommittee of the Council, and they set the
	25	for it.

S-OLS 3/7/02

72

1 VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Okay.

	2	MR. GARNEAU: So, I mean, they could determine what
there's	3	they what they think is best. I mean,
	4	you're talking about maybe having an ad hoc
	5	Committee that's not really part of the Council,
	6	just a citizens group to come back and bring a
have	7	compromise I think it would be up you'd
Committee	8	to ask the Public Works and Transportation
	9	as a whole how do they feel about it, if that's
	10	where you're going, if they want to just disband
not	11	this Subcommittee or just allow it to exist and
	12	meet until you know, until that report comes
	13	back.
	14	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Right.
to	15	MR. GARNEAU: I think it would be up it's really up
Transporta	16 tion	the members of that Public Works and
	17	Committee to decide how they want their
	18	Subcommittees to proceed because they are at
	19	ultimately responsible to that
also	20	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Uh-huh. Because another option
	21	is to ask the Department of Public Works to
different	22	formulate the task force because they have
you	23	standards under which they conduct meetings; and
	24	know, if a task force is set up under
to	25	Administration, for example, they're not subject

73	S-OLS 3/7	/02
have	1	sunshine law rules like we are. And you can
get	2	more workshop types of things where you could
times we	3	some nitty-gritties down. And it was at
	4	were really hampered by progressing in our
	5	particular formal setting.
something	6	And we're much better when we get
	7	that's already been tested out in the community.
this	8	And I think the ad hoc Committee that started
on	9	first proposal, you know, they did a lot of work
that	10	it, a mountain amount of work to even get it to
been	11	stage and bring it to us; but I think we've all
	12	learning that there's, you know, a whole lot of
us	13	concerns regarding it. So, maybe it is time for
	14	to say, well, we should step out of the process,
we'll,	15	send it back to the original process, then
	16	you know, wait until they bring it back to us.
	17	And, you know, I would leave it up to the

	18	Chairman how we wanted to handle the
Subcommitt	cee	
back	19	disbanding; and I mean, maybe if a product came
	20	later, we wouldn't even need a Subcommittee to
Public	21	review it. It could go just straight to the
reviewed	22	Works Committee because it's already been
	23	through the community process.
	24 ?	: Mr. Chair
Rick	25 C	HAIR MOLINA: I guess what you were saying maybe

74	S-OLS	3/7/02
ad	1	was suggesting that you have you formulate an
	2	hoc Committee with different representatives of
example,	3	from various sectors of the community. For
and	4	you know, you have people from the business side
who	5	lighting industry side along with the members
that	6	had composed the original ad hoc Committee; is
	7	what you're somewhat make reference to?
	8	MR. CHONG: No, I mean, if the Committee is still in

	9	existence, whether it's suspended or not, and
would	10	obviously the people sitting on this Committee
	11	have a very strong interest in being a part of
	12	whatever this work group is called we'd be
in	13	we'd be violating the sunshine law, I would
would	14	my in my again. So, I would think you
decide	15	have to we would have to as a Committee
	16	we're going to disband the Committee.
on	17	That would free us all up to be sitting
in	18	this work group again to hammer out the document
	19	a not as so not as time constricting formal
Committee	20	format and then come back and reform the
	21	under whatever Department of Public Works or
be	22	Transportation again which we all would probably
	23	on the Committee; but at least we got a document
constraint	24	that's been hammered outside of a time
	25	That's my idea.

architectu	1 ure	CHAIR MOLINA: Somewhat like the indigenous
	2	Committee has done and the arborist
	3	MR. GARNEAU: Yeah.
	4	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay.
	5	MR. SALDANA: Mr. Chair? Over here to the left.
	6	CHAIR MOLINA: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Mr. Saldana.
	7	MR. SALDANA: I just wanted to shed some light on the
	8	discussion that's going on between Councilmember
process	9	Tavares and Mr. Chong relative to the work
	10	with the task force.
	11	Apparently it's my understanding with the
group	12	indigenous architecture ordinance, there is a
	13	of representatives that are working with the
ones	14	Department of Public Works; and they are the
and	15	that are formulating the recommended ordinance
So,	16	rules that will be presented to the Council.
not	17	they are a task force in themselves. They're
the	18	set up as a Committee, and they were set up by
	19	Public Works Department. They have a variety of
	20	different representations on that from different
then	21	interest groups, both technical, cultural, and
	22	also general public as well.
	23	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Maberry, to be
	24	followed by Mr. Altenberg.

76	S-01	LS 3/7/02
	1	the document, and I can't find it. So, perhaps,
	2	Gary will remember the exact dates; but I just
up. I	3	wanted to point out that this didn't just pop
	4	mean, a lot of the baseline that we started with
	5	came from the original Street Lighting Standards
They	6	Committee back in the late '80s, early '90s.
were	7	actually had recommendations that they they
	8	eventually told went beyond the scope of their
	9	Committee and went on into general lighting.
	10	And, I mean so, you know, it's taken
	11	something like this to finally get everybody to
want	12	start taking it seriously. It's so, I don't
	13	to see the process stop; and you can imagine how
	14	much development and how much pollution has been
	15	added to Maui County since 1989.
	16	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Maberry.
	17	Dr. Altenberg.
go,	18	MR. ALTENBERG: Question as far as the sunshine laws

sunshine	19	if this Subcommittee is suspended, do the
	20	laws still apply to all the members of it that
no	21	more than two can meet?
	22	CHAIR MOLINA: I don't see our Corporation Counsel
here.	23	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Well, I'll I can play
Corporatio		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	24 25	Counsel. CHAIR MOLINA: You're going to play Corp okay.
	20	CHAIR MODINA. Tou te going to play colp oray.

77	S-OLS 3/	7/02
not	1 VIC	E-CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah. And I think Mr. Chong for
	2	being a bureaucrat in government has a real good
this	3	understanding of things, but he's correct. If
apply	4	is just suspended, the same rules will still
	5	because we will be in existence.
to	6	So, in order to we would actually have
	7	disband the Committee; and like I said, if
to	8	whatever comes back may not need a Subcommittee
	9	review it. It could go just straight to Public
	10	Works and Transportation Committee.

that	11	So, I would think that, yeah, based on
	12	reminder from Mr. Chong, that, yes, if we wanted
	13	to not we. You and I could not be on this
not	14	Committee, by the way. Council members could
	15	serve on a task force like this, as far as I
	16	understand.
	17	CHAIR MOLINA: The other five will
know,	18	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: But the others who are you
have	19	and these are the you know, the people that
	20	been contributing the most or they're here for a
	21	reason to pre you know, to eliminate their
think	22	participation would be a travesty. And so, I
	23	in order to accommodate their ability to
	24	participate, we would have to sus to actually
know,	25	disband the Subcommittee so it doesn't you

	1	just disband it. It doesn't exist anymore.
a	2	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. And my understanding if we form
	3	task force I believe it would not be any
	4	limitations as to how many members of this task

S-OLS 3/7/02

78

	5	force
	6	?: (Inaudible.)
	7	CHAIR MOLINA: Yeah, that would be an administrative
	8	directive.
	9	?: Right.
to	10	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Because I'm trying to relate it
also	11	the Mayor's transportation action Committee,
	12	the recycling task force.
	13	?: Okay.
	14	CHAIR MOLINA: Comes Dr. Altenberg.
public	15	MR. ALTENBERG: I think it's invaluable that the
	16	has been able to testify at these Subcommittee
task	17	meetings; and I would be concerned that if a
this	18	force formed and created a basically refined
Committee,	19	Code and then brought it back to the full
the	20	Public Works Committee, that at that point
but,	21	public could be invited to come in and testify;
	22	again, there may be certain level of detail
	23	issues with the specifics of the Code that I
Committee	24	question whether the whole Public Works
	25	would want to be dealing with.

S-OLS 3/7/02

	1	And it seems to me it might be helpful to
	2	have a reestablishment of this Subcommittee to
you	3	review what is produced by the task force and,
	4	know, give it a final stamp of approval or final
	5	level of refinement before sending it on to the
completely	6	Public Works Committee so that it's more
they're	7	digested by the time it gets there. And so,
of	8	not burdened with having to deal with the level
	9	detail that this Subcommittee has been trying to
	10	get bring to approval.
of	11	So, I don't know what the issue in terms
	12	reestablishing a Subcommittee would be for the
up	13	purposes of reviewing what the task force comes
	14	with; but I would feel happier if there was that
	15	stage as well.
	16	CHAIR MOLINA: I see. So noted. Mr. Garneau?
was	17	MR. GARNEAU: If I can just make one more comment, I
I	18	actually speaking with Ms. Kawasaki; and she and
an	19	had talked about the concerns this is sort of
has	20	unusual situation where that a Subcommittee

citizens	21	been formed and it came from an ad hoc
to	22	that had come forward to begin with. And now,
the	23	talk about going back is how does that affect
	24	sunshine laws given that we already have a
	25	Subcommittee and that they may be implicated?

80	S-OLS 3/7	/02
folks	1	So, I would actually just ask that you
research	2	allow me until our next meeting and really
	3	it before you make any decision on this point
that	4	because we don't want to make do anything
sunshine	5	would appear like we're trying to avoid the
	6	laws. And I understand that that we have to
find a	7	weigh that against the Committee's desire to
on	8	most efficient way for them to reach consensus
that,	9	this ordinance. So, if you will just allow me
	10	I'll by the time we come back next time, I'll
think	11	provide you with a legal opinion and what I

	12	the best way to go is on it.
	13	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Ms. Bernard?
you	14	MS. BERNARD: Can you give me an estimate of how long
-	15	think it would take for the County to form this
	16	particular task force, and would they provide
	17	meeting space and would this be a County task
	18	force? Is that how it would work?
	19	CHAIR MOLINA: I can tell you about the meeting the
that.	20	County space. I'm sure we could provide you
maybe	21	As far as the details, how long it would take,
Counsel.	22	I could get a comment from the Corporation
	23	MR. GARNEAU: I'm sorry.
took	24	MS. BERNARD: How long would it take I think it
months	25	I think it was around it was three to six

just	1	to form this Subcommittee, if I'm not mistaken,
you	2	to form this Committee. I'm wondering how long
	3	think it would take to form this task force that
	4	we're talking about.

S-OLS 3/7/02

81

but	5	MR. GARNEAU: Allow me to research that, too, as well;
legal	6	what I'll do is I'll I'd like to give you a
can	7	opinion on, first, whether it's possible that we
	8	undo what we've done because we haven't done it
-	9	before. And then, second, if we can, what are -
and	10	the options are so you guys are fully informed
	11	can make a decision about how you would like to
	12	proceed.
	13	MR. SALDANA: Mr. Chair?
	14	CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Saldana?
	15	MR. SALDANA: May I ask a question of the Corporation
	16	Counsel?
	17	CHAIR MOLINA: Proceed.
	18	MR. SALDANA: Instead of disbanding, so to speak, or
the	19	undoing what we've done in terms of establishing
	20	Committee, we're coming up on a deadline. We're
on	21	coming up on the term of the Committee being up
	22	April the 2nd. Instead of taking an action to
term	23	disband, what if the Committee just lets the
they be	24	run out without extending it? Then wouldn't
	25	free to go as they choose and establish working

the	1	groups or task forces consistent with whatever
sets	2	Administration or the Public Works Department
	3	up?
and	4	MR. GARNEAU: Again, Gary, I don't want to just answer
but	5	say "yes"; but I'll consider that, too. So
correct,	6	it went we have one more meeting before,
extension.	7	that we before we need to ask for an
but	8	So, that might be the option, letting it lapse;
	9	I'll find out.
	10	MR. SALDANA: However, if I may, Mr. Chair
	11	CHAIR MOLINA: Proceed.
for	12	MR. SALDANA: we have to submit a request to extend
Public	13	the March 13th meeting of the Public Works
	14	Works Committee. That is their last meeting
	15	before
	16	MR. GARNEAU: Budget.
Committee	17	MR. SALDANA: Now, if the Committee and the
issue	18	is going to have to deal with that extension
	19	today or the Subcommittee is going to have to

	20	or make a recommendation or a request at today's
if	21	meeting that I would imagine that you know,
	22	the Subcommittee wants to just kind of extend a
in	23	short period of time just to give it leeway just
just	24	case it decides to continue on with a review or
event	25	in case just to give it the backup in the

S-OLS 3/7/02

83

disband, w	1 e	that in the event that they decide to
a	2	can't we will be we won't be able to have
	3	meeting of Public Works prior to our expiration.
	4	CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Garneau?
sense,	5	MR. GARNEAU: Then Mr. Saldana, it might make
month	6	then, to ask for a two-week extension or a one-
to	7	extension; and then that will give myself time
	8	respond to the legal questions that have been
	9	proposed. And it and it wouldn't prohibit us
to	10	if one of the options was to allow the Committee
	11	lapse, then we could.

since	12	So, perhaps that's what we should do
just	13	we're under this time constraint by the 13th is
us	14	to ask for some short extension long enough for
	15	to consider.
it	16 CHAIF	R MOLINA: If I could add my comments to this
extension	17	somewhat segues into the next item, the
an	18	for the Subcommittee. I had initially asked for
Works	19	extension of up to 90 days at this next Public
us a	20	meeting for March 13th, so which would give
	21	deadline of July 1st. If I were to ask for an
or	22	extension for that long, would that be a problem
days	23	maybe adjust my request as far as the amount of
	24	to maybe say, like you recommended, 30 days, 60
	25	days, whichever is more appropriate?

84	
you	1 MR. GARNEAU: It would make sense to shorten it to,
to	2 know, something less if they are if we decide

S-OLS 3/7/02

```
3
                       go with a -- allowing it to lapse and coming
back.
                       So, it would make sense to ask for 30 days, I
think.
                CHAIR MOLINA: So, if we ask for 30 days from April
            5
2nd,
            6
                       that means we have a deadline of May 2nd. Now,
we
            7
                       had not planned on meeting in April because I
know
                       you had mentioned that at our next scheduled
meeting
            9
                       we'd discuss this sunshine law issue. So, maybe
it
           10
                       would make more sense to request a 60-day --
                MR. GARNEAU: I -- excuse me. Our next meeting after
           11
                       today's date is the -- I thought it was before
           12
the
           13
                       budget. We're not meeting again?
           14
                CHAIR MOLINA: Gary, do we have --
           15
                MR. SALDANA: Can we have a break --
           16
                CHAIR MOLINA: Okay.
                MR. SALDANA: -- so we can talk about this?
           17
           18
                CHAIR MOLINA: Break subject to the call of the Chair.
                       (Gavel.)
           19
                RECESS: 10:58 a.m.
           20
           21
                RECONVENE: 11:16 a.m.
           22
                CHAIR MOLINA: -- standards is now back in session.
Thank
           23
                       you, members, for putting up with the rather
long
           24
                       break. I thought it would be brief; but anyway,
we
           25
                       managed to hash out a few things.
```

	S-OLS 3/7/	/02
85		
and	1	I upon discussions with the Analyst
our	2	the Corporation Counsel, I'm proposing that at
of	3	next meeting scheduled meeting of March 21st
we're	4	which I needed to mention prior to the break,
	5	going to I guess the Corporation is going to
	6	decide to give us an opinion on the issue of
and	7	disbanding or sub suspending the Subcommittee
about.	8	any other sunshine law issues that may come
	9	And I'm considering asking the Public Works
	10	Committee to extend for 30 days until May 2nd
	11	instead of the original 90 days.
me,	12	So, also, at that meeting or, excuse
	13	at the March 21st meeting, we will we are
on	14	considering asking the Public Works Department
	15	to give their thoughts on as far as the
we've	16	formulation of this so-called task force that
	17	been considering here today.

	18	Mr. Hanzawa, you have a comment? I see
your		
	19	hand going up.
support a	20	MR. HANZAWA: Yeah, I'm sure the Department will
	21	task force if that would be the wishes of this
force	22	Committee. We have we have supported task
10100		
	23	in the past, such as about five years ago we had
a		
	24	task force put together to revise the grading
	25	ordinance; and that took about maybe about a
year		

86	S-OLS 3/7/02						
	1	and a half with about 15 to 20 members on it.					
input,	2	There was some discussion about public					
to	3	and really the public would could be invited					
at	4	these task force meetings. And we could also,					
draft	5	the end, once that a draft ordinance or a					
advertise o	6 r	proposal is put together, that we could					
public.	7	publish additional hearings and invite the					
	8	Thank you.					

the	9	CHAIR MOLINA: And the I assume the Mayor would be
with	10	person appointing the people to the task force
	11	input from the Public Works Department.
	12	MR. HANZAWA: That's right.
any	13	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. Committee members,
by	14	comments at this time? Dr. Altenberg, followed
	15	Ms. Bernard.
	16	MR. ALTENBERG: It occurs to me that this Subcommittee
which	17	could produce an output by the end of its term
	18	would be the thing that comes to my mind is
	19	basically the testimony and the issues that have
and	20	been raised here raise a number of questions;
	21	the thing that's bogged us down is that we don't
	22	have the answers to those questions.
important	23	And I think it would be extremely
	24	if this Subcommittee formulated a list of the
the	25	questions that would then be recommended that

S-OLS 3/7/02

87

1 task force answer; and this would give some guidance

	2	to the task force and, I think, also provide
accomplish	3	something of a summary of what has been
accompilsi		
	4	by the Subcommittee, which is that a number of
	5	issues have been aired and questions raised.
part	6	So, because we have only worked through
	7	of the Code and there's specific questions that
	8	would come up on other parts of the Code, I'm
provide	9	wondering whether we've pro 30 days would
	10	enough time to allow what the resources
the	11	represented here from being able to formulate
Code	12	questions dealing with the other parts of the
	13	that need to be answered.
me	14	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Well, let's see, are you asking
	15	that question or just
	16	MR. ALTENBERG: I I throw it open.
you	17	CHAIR MOLINA: Well, maybe I know Mr. Garneau,
	18	came up with the suggestion of 30 days.
with	19	MR. GARNEAU: I had suggested 30 days just because
as	20	this one issue of whether you wanted to continue
	21	a Subcommittee 30 days, if you decided after
you	22	after getting my opinion at the next meeting, if
and	23	decided to you know, to make a recommendation

don't	24	to	disba	and,	the	30	day	s woul	ld rı	ın fa	aste	∍r.	I
at	25	see	any	reas	on	why	we	can't	ask	for	30	now,	and

88	S-OLS 3/7,	/02
what	1	the meeting on the 19th you'll know as a group
extend	2	you want to do. You may be recommending to
	3	this indefinitely and not to disband.
	4	And so, the 30 days is just in case you
for	5	decide to disband; but if not, then you can ask
the	6	more time. I don't think there's any limit on
just	7	number of times you ask for an extension. It's
	8	if you ask for a longer extension, it will take
longer	9	if you decide not to go forward, it will take
	10	for it to lapse.
understand		R MOLINA: Before the term ends is my
Budget	12	And, in the meantime, we could talk to the
	13	Chairperson about having a special meeting for
consider	14	Public Works during the budget session to

15	an extension if need be. Dr. Altenberg?
16	MR. ALTENBERG: Well, it would seem that we would need
17 possibility	what would be better is to ask for the
18 the	of several options for length of extension from
19 Subcommittee	Committee, the sub the Public Works
20	because if we ask for just 30 days and they say,
21	okay, you can have 30 days and when we meet next
22 have	time, says, no, we need 60 days, then we don't
23	that option, if I'm if I'm understanding
24	correctly. So, is it possible to ask the Public
25 little	Works Committee for, say, up to 90 days or as

89	S-OLS 3/7/02
wish	1 as 30 days or no extension depending on what we
	2 at our next Subcommittee meeting?
	3 MR. SALDANA: Mr. Chair?
	4 CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Saldana?
you	5 MR. SALDANA: The a term has to be set. So, when
term	6 ask for 30 days, you have to indicate that your

on	7	will expire on May 2nd or it's going to expire
	8	June 2nd or whatever. You have to identify a
	9	specific term.
	10	CHAIR MOLINA: So, there's no leeway. You just you
	11	have to give a specific date.
	12	MR. SALDANA: That's the way the guidelines are set.
	13	CHAIR MOLINA: And these guidelines are from
	14	MR. SALDANA: They're I think they're part of the
formulate	15	Council Services guidelines, the way we
They're	16	the rules and the Council rules as well.
	17	part of the Council rules.
	18	CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you. Dr. Altenberg?
of	19	MR. ALTENBERG: Well, it would seem that the main cost
it	20	going, say, 60 days instead of 30 days is that
by	21	would delay the establishment of the task force
	22	30 days. That seem to me does not is not
	23	especially severe cost. However, if, for some
	24	reason, we wanted to have more than could be
	25	accomplished in just a 30-day extension, if we

constraint	1 on	didn't have it, that would be a severe
seem	2	what the Subcommittee could do. So, it would
	3	to me that having 60 days might give a better
	4	cushion and would not have a substantial cost in
that	5	terms of delaying the formation of a task force
	6	included members of the Subcommittee.
	7	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Altenberg. Any
	8	comments of Corp. Counsel at this time on to
	9	MR. GARNEAU: No, I have no comment.
	10	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Ms. Bernard, to be followed by
	11	Mr. Chong.
expedite	12	MS. BERNARD: I really appreciate your desire to
task	13	this process and your suggestions of forming a
I do	14	force that has come up during this session, but
the	15	feel like we have begun to make progress with
gotten	16	matrix that was submitted. We've actually
	17	some things on line that we can look at. We can
	18	make decisions about. We can even vote on them.
is a	19	And I worry that forming this task force
folks	20	little duplicative. We do have our resource
while	21	present who are interested, and it did take a
	22	to get this particular Committee formed. As we
blue.	23	said, this ordinance did not come out of the

	24	It's taken a while to formulate; and as I said
	25	earlier I'd like to reiterate that I'm a
little		

91	S-OLS 3/	77/02
lapse	1	concerned about the length of time that would
	2	while we go through this process. We have spent
	3	quite a bit of time just discussing the whole
	4	possibility of a task force, and then I can just
room	5	imagine getting all the players together in a
	6	and discussing the things that we've discussed.
	7	And I know that this formal process it
we've	8	makes it difficult for discussion. However,
matrix	9	done some good work on e-mail. We've got a
chance	10	now we can look at. And we haven't gotten a
would	11	to discuss that; and if we could, perhaps that
that	12	help us see how fast we can move. So, I'm glad
not	13	we'll be making this decision next meeting and
	14	now.
	15 CH <i>F</i>	AIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Chong?

	16	MR. CHONG: I'm all for getting it done as quickly as
	17	possible. I'm the only guy traveling to these
	18	meetings, but that's my own personal interests.
Т	19	I think the task force in my opinion,
_		
	20	like the idea of a task force. I find these
task	21	meetings very constraining; and I think in a
Lask		
	22	force it would be much the rapport and the
having to	23	conversations can flow more freely without
	24	ask permission, that kind of thing.
	25	I nothing against formalities with the

92		
broken	1	Council, I just it doesn't and it's too
what	2	up. By the time you get your turn, you forgot
task	3	you were going to ask, that kind of thing. A
	4	force would be much more efficient.
	5	And so, my opinion would be to end the
can	6	Committee here as soon as possible so that we
get	7	get to the task force as quickly as possible and
finding	8	really good work done in the task force and

S-OLS 3/7/02

	9	whatever revisions we may want to make to the
	10	document.
	11 CHAIF	R MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chong. I know we
know,	12	have to wait for that opinion on how to, you
	13	end or suspend the Committee next week from
research o	14 on	Mr. Garneau who will be doing additional
	15	that.
	16	Any other comments or questions on this
to	17	matter at this point? Hearing none, I did want
you in	18	discuss other items today that I mentioned to
	19	my overview; but in light of the fact that
being	20	Mr. Garneau the new issue of a task force
would	21	formed being suggested here today, I think it
until	22	be appropriate that we not proceed any further
which	23	we get the opinion from Corporation Counsel
or	24	will help us decide whether we want to disband
the	25	whether it is permissible to disband or suspend

	1	Subcommittee.
	2	And, of course, we heard the input from
forming	3	Public Works who would welcome the option of
	4	a Subcommittee and get that input before this is
formal, I	5	this matter is brought back to the to a
Department	6	guess, Subcommittee of the Public Works
	7	or it could go straight to Council, for that
	8	matter Public Works Committee, then Council.
suggestion	9 .s at	So, any other last comments or
thank	10	this point before we adjourn? Hearing none,
and	11	you very much, members, appreciate your input
	12	also to our resource personnel.
	13	MR. SALDANA: Mr. Chair?
	14	CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Saldana?
The	15	MR. SALDANA: Can I get one point of clarification?
extension	16	recommendation is for a 30-day request for
	17	to May 2nd; is that correct?
	18	CHAIR MOLINA: Yes, members, 30 I'm recommending 30
	19	days. I know Dr. Altenberg had thrown out the
with	20	option of 60 days. For me, I think I feel okay
	21	a 30-day extension; and then we can address the
on	21	a 30-day extension; and then we can address the other issues that are going to be brought about

	24	meeting, the recommendation will be for 30 days.
	25	Okay. To make matters more formal, we'll
go		
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
94	S-0	LS 3/7/02
	1	ahead and take a vote on 30 days. So, what the
	2	Chair is going to do is recommend a 30-day
extension	2	for the Cubermyittees and T do need a cornel
	3	for the Subcommittee; and I do need a second.
	4	?: You need a motion. You need a motion.
	5	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: You need a motion.
Okay.	6	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. The Chair is thank you.
	7	The Chair will entertain a motion for a 30-day
	8	extension of the Subcommittee.
	9	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: I'll move that for
	10	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay.
	11	MR. MABERRY: Second.
	12	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. It's been moved by member
Tavares,	10	
	13	and seconded by member Maberry. Discussion,
	14	members?
	15	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah, Mr. Chairman.
	16	CHAIR MOLINA: Ms. Tavares.
days,	17	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: In your recommendation for 30

that	18	you are taking into account the budget schedule
	19	we have?
if we	20	CHAIR MOLINA: Yes. And I will ask the Budget Chair
session,	21	do need another meeting during the budget
	22	that we could have a
what	23	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Because 30 days takes us to
	24	was the date, May 2nd?
	25	CHAIR MOLINA: May 2nd.

95	S-OLS 3/7/02		
heavy	1 VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: May 2nd. We're going to be in		
	2 deliberations at that time or just prior to tha	ıt	
if	<pre>3 time for budget. I'm not I'm not sure. Wha</pre>	ìt	
the	4 he says no? Then we're we're going to have		
	5 Committee lapse by itself. The time will		
	6 (inaudible).		
flexible	7 CHAIR MOLINA: I'm banking on Mr. Hokama being		
	8 to well, I'm hoping that a meeting like that	;	
to	9 would be very brief and short for the Committee	è	
	10 consider; and then we could for example, I		

	11	believe last budget session, we did have short
	12	meetings prior from other Committees prior to
	13	going into the rest of the meetings for budget.
	14	So
	15	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Okay.
	16	CHAIR MOLINA: I think the only difference we were
	17	initially requesting 90 days. Now, we're just
	18	requesting 30 days and
can	19	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: We could you know, I guess we
if	20	try it because what's going to be important is
have	21	your Committee will meet your Committee will
could	22	to meet to extend the deadline again; and that
	23	be just a short minute
	24	CHAIR MOLINA: Right.
could	25	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: a short short minute. It

96		
it	1 be a short minute but it probably won't be, but	
	2 will be a short meeting.	
	3 CHAIR MOLINA: Sure. I'm banking on that we'll it	

S-OLS 3/7/02

members to	shouldn't take too long for the Committee
Ē	make a decision to extend the Subcommittee term.
6	Okay. Mr. Altenberg?
one	MR. ALTENBERG: If I might ask, how does one can
3	offer an amendment to a motion?
S	CHAIR MOLINA: You can go ahead and
10	?: (Inaudible).
11 an	CHAIR MOLINA: make an amendment. You can propose
12	amendment.
13	MR. ALTENBERG: And then and then
14	CHAIR MOLINA: You would need a second.
15	MR. ALTENBERG: And then you need a second.
16	CHAIR MOLINA: Uh-huh.
17	MR. ALTENBERG: Okay. I would propose an amendment to
18	change it from 30 to 60 days.
19	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. A motion an amendment to the
20	motion has been made to
21	MS. BERNARD: Second.
by	CHAIR MOLINA: amend the motion from 30 to 60 days
23	Dr. Altenberg, and it's been seconded by
24	Ms. Bernard.
of 25	Discussion? Dr. Altenberg, as the maker

	1	the motion, your thoughts
	2	MR. ALTENBERG: From
	3	CHAIR MOLINA: of the amendment?
the	4	MR. ALTENBERG: what Ms. Tavares was saying about
	5	possible pressure from the deliberations of the
	6	other tasks of the County government, this might
to.	7	enable us to avoid such a conflict if we need
for	8	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members any other discussions
	9	the amended motion? Ms. Tavares?
I	10	VICE-CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah. Mr. Chair, I you know,
consider	11 it	hear your comments about it; but I would
problem	12	a friendly amendment. So, I don't have a
	13	with going with 60 days.
We're	14	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Any other discussions? Okay.
amendment	15	going to go ahead and take a vote on the
	16	to the motion which is to extend the term of the
	17	Subcommittee to 60 days. All those in favor say
	18	"aye."
	19	SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
will	20	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed? Okay. The Chair
	21	mark the amended motion unanimous.
	22	

98	s-ols 3	/7/02			
Bernard,	1 VC	TE: AYES:	Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares,		
and	2	NOES: ABSTAIN:	Chair Molina. None. None.		
	4	ABSENT: EXC.:	None. Subcommittee member McCord.		
	5 MC	5 MOTION CARRIED.			
	6	ACTION:	APPROVE amendment to main motion.		
	7				
those	8 CH	AIR MOLINA: A	nd now back to the main motion, all		
-	9	in favor -	- or excuse me. I believe we should -		
	10	we're stil	l in discussions on the main motion at		
	11	this point			
okay,	12	Any	other comments on the main motion,		
Okay.	13	of extendi	ng the term of the Subcommittee.		
motion	14	Hearing no	ne, all those in favor of the main		
	15	say "aye."			

	16	SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
The	17	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed? Okay. Thank you.
to	18	motion has carried. So, the recommendation is
	19	extend the Subcommittee for 60 days which for
	20	your information, the term will end on June
	21	?: 1st.
	22	CHAIR MOLINA: 2nd.
	23	?: 1st.
	24	CHAIR MOLINA: Oh, excuse me. Is it 1st? June 1st.
	25	Okay.
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
99	S-0	LS 3/7/02
99	S-0.	LS 3/7/02
Bernard,		LS 3/7/02 VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares,
	1	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina.
Bernard,	1 2	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None.
Bernard,	1 2 3	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina. NOES: None.
Bernard,	1 2 3 4	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: None.
Bernard,	1 2 3 4 5	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: None. EXC.: Subcommittee member McCord.
Bernard,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: None. EXC.: Subcommittee member McCord. MOTION CARRIED. ACTION: APPROVE main motion as amended to Public Works and Transportation
Bernard,	1 2 3 4 5	VOTE: AYES: Subcommittee members Altenberg, Chong, Maberry, Vice-Chair Tavares, Chair Molina. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: None. EXC.: Subcommittee member McCord. MOTION CARRIED. ACTION: APPROVE main motion as amended

	11 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, members. Any other
meeting	12 discussion? Hearing none, thank you. This
meecing	13 is adjourned. (Gavel.)
	14
	15 SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS VOICED NO OBJECTIONS. (excused:
WM)	
	16
	17 ACTION: DEFER pending further discussion.
	18
	19 ADJOURN: 11:31 a.m.
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
100	S-OLS 3/7/02
100	
	1 CERTIFICATE
	2 STATE OF HAWAII)
	3) SS.
	4 CITY AND COUNTY OF MAUI)
	5

6

I, Sharon L. Ross, Certified Shorthand

	7	Reporter for the State of Hawaii, hereby certify
	8	that the proceedings were taken down by me in
	9	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
	10	typewritten form under my supervision; that the
a	11	foregoing represents to the best of my ability,
had	12	true and correct transcript of the proceedings
	13	in the foregoing matter.
for	14	I further certify that I am not attorney
concerned	15	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way
	16	with the cause.
	17	DATED this 2nd day of April, 2002, in
	18	Honolulu, Hawaii.
	19	
	20	
	21	Charan I Daga CCD NO 422
Hawaii	22	Sharon L. Ross, CSR NO. 432 Notary Public, State of
		My Commission Expires:
4/8/05	23	
	24	
	25	